

Effect of Integrated Weed Control Options on Growth and Yield of Cassava at Kilosa District, Tanzania

Joseph Adonia Leonard

Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute (TARI),
P. O. Box 1253 Tengeru, Arusha, Tanzania

Emmanuel Vicent Laswai

Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute (TARI),
P. O. Box 1253 Tengeru, Arusha, Tanzania

Abdul B. Kudra

Department of Crop Science and Horticulture, Sokoine University
of Agriculture, P. O. Box 3005 Chuo Kikuu, Morogoro, Tanzania

George M. Tryphone

Department of Crop Science and Horticulture, Sokoine University
of Agriculture, P. O. Box 3005 Chuo Kikuu, Morogoro, Tanzania

ABSTRACT

Weeds are among of the major pest that hinders cassava growth and production. Also, weeds can host insect and disease pathogens, thus brings up difficulty in the growth of cassava. The effect of different weed control treatment combinations was studied at Ilonga village, Kilosa district during 2019/2020 planting season. Till only and till + Ridge, pre-emergence herbicides (Primagram Gold a.i 290 g/L S-metolachlor + 370 g/L atrazine and Oxfen a.i Oxyfluorfen 24% EC), post emergence herbicides (Force up a.i 480 g/L of Glyphosate-Isopropylamine salt and back pack weeder with modified tines were tested on Cassava variety Kiroba in a factorial experiment arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) replicated three times. Combination of tillage practices and pre-emergence herbicides application increased number of days weeds took to re-emerge. The correlation analysis showed a highly significant strong positive relationship, $r = 0.925$, $p < 0.001$ and $r = 0.781$, $p < 0.05$ between cassava stem height and cassava fresh root weight, and cassava stem girth and cassava fresh root weight, respectively. Weed control combinations did not significantly affect the cassava fresh root weight, $p = 0.514$ and cassava biomass $p = 0.732$. Also weed control combinations did not significantly affect soil quality. The combination of till + ridges, application of S-metolachlor + atrazine herbicides and glyphosate provided favorable environment for cassava growth and root formation as compared to other treatment combinations. Therefore, it is recommended as a best option for controlling weeds in cassava production system.

Keywords: Cassava, Weed control combination(s), Days after planting, Fresh root weight, Cassava biomass

INTRODUCTION

Weeds has been the major challenge in production of many fields crops due to its competition towards fundamental requirements for plants to grow [1]. Weeds grow faster and in a large number in one area which this latter on reduces crop yield [2]. Cassava as the one of crops produced for commercial purpose or as food crop, it also faces difficulties caused by weeds during growing. In order to avoid yield loss in cassava production weed control within the first four weeks after planting is crucial. Islami et al. [2] and Ekeleme et al. [3] reported that, poor weeding might cause yield loss of up to 90%.

In order to reduce cassava yield losses, various methods of weed control have been suggested; Schwartz-Lazaro and Copes [4] suggested the proper soil tillage as the fore most important weed control technique as it kills the emerged weeds and exposes weed seeds to conditions unfavorable for their germination thus reducing the seed bank. Kraehmer et al. [5] and Ekeleme et al. [6] suggested the use of herbicides as they are effective in controlling weeds if applied at appropriate rate and time. There are different types of herbicides depending on the requirement of the user, crop growth stage and weed type and their growth stage, some of herbicides are pre-emergence ones which are applied in the soil to kill the weed seeds and early emerged weeds [6] others are post emergence herbicides which are applied after weed emergence and they either control weeds emerged at the time of application or already emerged weeds and provide residual activity against late emerging weeds [5]. But intensive care should be taken when using these herbicides as some studies revealed the excessive use or improper use of herbicide can cause detrimental effects to human health and environment in general [7].

At the time of weed control, the most important thing to note is the growth stage of cassava and the time taken for the weeding to be done as some of the weeding methods consume a lot of time and need huge labor force. This is the major factor contributing to cassava yield reduction as the more you delay in weeding, the more you will loss the cassava yield. Reshma et al. [8] reported that cassava requires good weed management during the first three to four months after planting, as it has a tendency of exhibiting slow initial growth and incomplete canopy cover, thus the effectiveness of any weed control measures depends largely on timeliness of application. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the best package from different integrated weed control techniques which will keep cassava farm free from weeds for a longer period of time and resulting to optimum cassava root yield production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the Study Site

The study was conducted in Ilonga village, Kilosa district, Morogoro region at a geographical location of latitude 6°46' 27" S and longitude 37°2'14" E, and elevation of 479.95 m asl. The district experiences an average of eight months of rainfall (October to May), with the highest levels between February and March. The rainfall distribution is weakly bimodal in good years, with short rains (October to January), followed by long rains (mid-February to May). Mean annual rainfall ranges between 1 000 and 1 400 mm in the southern flood plain, while further north (Gairo Division) the annual rainfall ranges from 800 to 1 100 mm. The mean annual temperature in Kilosa district is about 25°C [9, 10].

Treatments and Experimental Design

Experimental design used was factorial experiment ($2 \times 2 \times 2$) arranged in randomized complete block design, whereby eight plots were established to make one replication. Plot size was 20 m² (4 m \times 5 m) and plots were separated by 1 m and replication was separated by 2 m. Treatments were replicated three times in each site.

Treatments were two tillage practices (Till only and till + Ridge), two pre-emergence weed control options (herbicide) (Primagram Gold a.i 290 g/L S-metolachlor and 370 g/L atrazine and Oxfen a.i Oxyfluorfen 24% EC) and two post emergence weed control options (herbicide; Force up a.i 480 g/L of Glyphosate-Isopropylamine salt and mechanized weeder tool; back pack weeder with modified tines). Pre emergence weed control treatments were applied at planting. Post emergence weed control treatments were applied when weed population reached 30% (three to four leaves stage) within a plot. Cassava (Kiroba variety) planted at a population of 10 000 plants/ha was used.

Data Collection

Crop Data Collected:

Growth Characteristics:

After treatments application five months after planting (5 MAP), data on cassava stem height and girth were collected.

Harvest Data:

On the 10th MAP, the above ground plant parts (leaves with petioles, stem and planting stalk) and roots were weighed to determine harvest index (plant biomass).

For the roots; data on number of marketable/storage cassava roots, cassava root yield (fresh root weight per plant, fresh root weight per Ha, dry storage root weight per 500 g sample of cassava root according to [11] were recorded. Dry matter content was estimated after oven dry at 105°C for 24 hours according to [12]. These data were collected from two middle rows in the plot leaving two lines on each side of the plot as borders.

Weed Data Collected:

Weed species and number of days (time) at which weed population reaches 30% (three to four leaves stage) were recorded in order to determine the duration at which pre-emergence and post emergence weed control treatments suppressed weed growth as per [13] procedure.

Soil Data Collected:

Soil samples were collected in each field at the depth of 0 – 20 cm and 21 – 50 cm before land preparation for assessment of the initial soil fertility status. A total of five samples were collected in a zigzag pattern at each depth to make a compost sample and then this sample was used to make a single sample [14].

Data Analysis

The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) at ($P \leq 0.05$) using R statistical package version 3.5.2. The treatment means were compared using Tukey's honestly

significance test at alpha 5%. Correlation analysis (Pearson's correlation coefficients) was performed among variables. Also, both physical and chemical characteristics of the soil sample collected were determined.

RESULTS

Physical and Chemical Characteristics of the Soils at the Studied Site

Soil chemical characteristics and particle size class of the surface and subsurface soils (0 to 20 cm and 21 to 50 cm deep) in 2019/2020 planting season are shown in Table 1. The method used for analysis of each nutrient was pH meter, Walkley – Black, Bray 1, Kjeldahl, Ammonium Acetate Extraction pH 7.0, DTPA Extraction pH 7.3 and Hydrometer for soil pH, Organic carbon, Phosphorus, Nitrogen, Exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K and Na), Micro nutrients (Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe) and Textural class, respectively.

Table 1: Soil characteristics of the studied area

| Parameter | Soil depth | | Range suitable for cassava production | Rated according to: |
|------------------------------------------|------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 0-20 cm | 21-50 cm | | |
| pH (in H ₂ O) | 5.88 | 5.61 | 4.5 - 7.0 | [15] |
| OC (%) | 1.48 | 1.26 | 4.0 - 10.0 | [16] |
| P (mgkg ⁻¹) | 5.07 | 2.86 | < 4.2 | [17] |
| N (%) | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.20 - 0.50 | [16] |
| Ca (cmol _c kg ⁻¹) | 10.8 | 10.82 | 1.0 - 5.0 | [15] |
| Mg (cmol _c kg ⁻¹) | 2.57 | 2.66 | 0.40 - 1.00 | [15] |
| K (cmol _c kg ⁻¹) | 0.67 | 0.38 | 0.15 - 0.25 | [15] |
| Na (cmol _c kg ⁻¹) | 0.08 | 0.12 | < 2 | [17] |
| Cu (mgkg ⁻¹) | 2.04 | 2.24 | 0.3 - 0.8 | [18] |
| Zn (mgkg ⁻¹) | 0.59 | 0.39 | 1.0 - 3.0 | [18] |
| Mn (mgkg ⁻¹) | 21.5 | 21.31 | 1.2 - 3.5 | [18] |
| Fe (mgkg ⁻¹) | 55.35 | 50.56 | 4.0 - 6.0 | [18] |
| Textural class | SCL | SCL | | |

SCL = silty clay loam soil

Influence of Tillage Practice, Pre-Emergence Weed Control and Post Emergence Weed Control Treatments on Weed Growth

Days to First Post Emergence Weed Control:

The results on effect of tillage practice, pre-emergence weed control treatments and post emergence weed control treatments on days to first post emergence weed control treatment application for Ilonga site are shown in Table 2. There was a highly significant ($p < 0.001$) effect of tillage practice on a number of days to the first post emergence weed control treatments application. Whereby, the application of tillage and ridging resulted into the highest number of days to first post emergence weed control treatments application.

Additionally, there was a highly significant ($p < 0.001$) effect of pre-emergence weed control treatments on a number of days to weeds emergence. The application of Primagram gold as pre-emergence herbicide resulted the highest number of days to weed emergence. Also, there was non-significant ($p > 0.05$) effect of post emergence treatment application on the days to

weeds reemerge. The application of Force up herbicide as a post-emergence treatment led to many numbers of days weeds took to reach three leaves stage.

Days to Second Post Emergence Weed Control:

Table 2 shows the results on effect of tillage practice, pre-emergence weed control and post emergence weed control treatments on weed growth after the first post-emergence weed control treatment application. The results showed that, there was a highly significant ($p < 0.001$) effect of tillage practice on days to second post-emergence weed control treatments application, whereby till and ridge showed highest number of days to second post-emergence weed control treatment application.

There was no significant ($p > 0.05$) effect of pre-emergence weed control treatments on days to second post emergence weed control treatment application. The application of Primagram gold as pre-emergence herbicide showed the highest number of days to second post-emergence weed control treatment application in both sites.

Furthermore, there was a highly significant ($p < 0.001$) effect of post emergence treatment application on days to second post emergence weed control treatment application. The application of Force up herbicide significantly increased the number of days to the next post-emergence weed control treatment application.

Table 2: Influence of Tillage practice, Pre-emergence and Post-emergence weed control treatments on weed growth at the studied site

| Treatment factors | | Days to | Days to |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | 1 st PEWC | 2 nd PEWC |
| Factor A (Tillage practice) | Till | 32.17b | 70b |
| | Till and Ridge | 44.08a | 78.58a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | 38.13 | 74.29 |
| | <i>p value</i> | <.0001 | <.0001 |
| Factor B (Pre emergence treatment) | Oxfen | 35.58b | 74.08a |
| | Primagram | 40.67a | 74.5a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | 38.13 | 74.29 |
| | <i>p value</i> | <.0001 | 0.6186 |
| Factor C (Post emergence treatment) | Force up | 38.08a | 77.08a |
| | Mechanical weeding | 38.17a | 71.5b |
| | <i>Mean</i> | 38.1 | 74.29 |
| | <i>p value</i> | 0.8619 | <.0001 |

Values in the same column, followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) according to Tukey's honestly significance test, PEWC = post-emergence weed control.

Interaction's Effect of Weed Control Treatments on Weeds Growth

Days To First Post Emergence Weed Control:

The results on the weed control treatment interaction on a number of days to the first post emergence weed control treatment application are shown in Table 3.

The combination of tillage practices and pre-emergence weed control significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased the number of days of weeds reemergence. Till and ridge \times Primagram herbicide treatment combinations, controlled weeds for many days, while on plots where till \times Oxfen treatment combination were applied showed the lowest number of days to the next treatment application.

The combination of tillage practices and post-emergence weed control were significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased the number of days to weed emergence. Till and ridge \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations, controlled weeds for more days, while on plots where till \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations were applied had the lowest number of days.

The combination of pre-emergence weed control and post-emergence weed control treatments did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) increase the number of days to weed emergence. Primagram herbicide \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations, controlled weeds for more days, while on plots where Oxfen \times mechanical weeding treatment combinations were applied had the smaller number of days.

The application of tillage practice, pre-emergence herbicides and post-emergence weed control treatment combinations did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) increase the number of days to weed emergence. Till and ridge \times Primagram \times Force up treatment combinations showed the highest number of days while till \times Oxfen \times Force up showed the lowest number of days to the next treatment application.

Days To Second Post-Emergence Weed Control:

The results on effect of tillage practice, pre-emergence and post-emergence weed control treatment interactions on days to second post-emergence weed control treatment application are shown in Table 3. The combinations of tillage practices and pre-emergence weed control significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased the number of days to second post emergence weed control treatment application. Till and ridge \times Primagram herbicide treatment combinations-controlled weeds for more days. The plots where till \times Primagram treatment combinations were applied showed the lowest number of days.

The combinations of tillage practices and post-emergence weed control treatments significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased the number of days to second post-emergence weed control treatment application. Till and ridge \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations-controlled weeds for more days. The plots where till \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations were applied had the lowest number of days.

The combinations of pre-emergence weed control and post-emergence weed control treatments did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) increase the number of days to second post-emergence weed control treatment application. Primagram herbicide \times Force up herbicide treatment combination-controlled weeds for more days. The plots where Primagram \times mechanical weeding treatment combinations were applied had the lowest number of days. The application of tillage practice, pre-emergence herbicides and post-emergence weed control treatment combinations significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) increased the number of days to the next post-

emergence weed control treatment application. Till and ridge × Primagram × Force up treatment combinations showed the highest number of days and till × Primagram × Force up showed the lowest number of days. During this stage of post-emergence treatment application, perennial weeds; *Cyperus rotundus* and *Cynodon species* were predominant.

Table 3: Interaction effect of weed control treatments on weed growth at Ilonga site

| Treatments factors | | Days to 1 st PEWC | Days to 2 nd PEWC |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| A × B | Till × Oxfen | 29d | 71b |
| | Till × Primagram | 35.33c | 69b |
| | Till and Ridge × Oxfen | 42.17b | 77.17a |
| | Till and Ridge × Primagram | 46a | 80a |
| | <i>p value</i> | 0.0174 | 0.0095 |
| A × C | Till × Force up | 31.5b | 69b |
| | Till × Mechanical weeding | 32.83b | 71b |
| | Till and Ridge × Force up | 44.67a | 85.17a |
| | Till and Ridge × Mechanical weeding | 43.5a | 72b |
| | <i>p value</i> | 0.0174 | <.0001 |
| B × C | Oxfen × Force up | 36.17b | 76.17a |
| | Oxfen × Mechanical weeding | 36b | 72b |
| | Primagram × Force up | 41a | 78a |
| | Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 40.33a | 71b |
| | <i>p value</i> | 0.1312 | 0.1036 |
| A × B × C | Till × Oxfen × Force up | 28d | 71cd |
| | Till × Oxfen × Mechanical weeding | 30d | 71cd |
| | Till × Primagram × Force up | 35c | 67d |
| | Till × Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 35.67c | 71cd |
| | Till and Ridge × Oxfen × Force up | 42.33b | 81.33b |
| | Till and Ridge × Oxfen × Mechanical weeding | 42b | 73c |
| | Till and Ridge × Primagram × Force up | 47a | 89a |
| | Till and Ridge × Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 45ab | 71cd |
| | <i>Mean</i> | 38.12 | 74.29 |
| <i>CV%</i> | 3.2 | 2.7 | |
| <i>p value</i> | 0.8619 | 0.0007 | |

Values in the same column, followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) according to Tukey's honestly significance test, CV = coefficient of variation, PEWC = post-emergence weed control, A = Tillage practice, B = Pre-emergence weed control treatment, C = post-emergence weed control treatment.

Influence of Tillage Practice, Pre-Emergence and Post-Emergence Weed Control Treatments on Cassava Plant Height and Stem Girth At 5 Months After Planting

The results in Table 4 shows that there was significant ($p > 0.005$) influence of tillage practices on cassava plant height and stem girth at five months after planting between treatments. Till and ridge treatment showed the highest stem height and stem girth.

There was no significant ($p>0.05$) effect of pre-emergence weed control treatments on cassava plant height and stem girth at 5 months after planting. Oxfen treatment applied as pre-emergence weed control showed the highest stem height and stem girth.

There was no significant ($p>0.05$) effect of post-emergence weed control treatments on cassava plant height and stem girth at 5 months after planting. Force up herbicide applied as post-emergence weed control recorded the highest stem height and stem girth.

Table 4: Influence of Tillage practice, Pre-emergence and Post-emergence weed control treatments on cassava plant height and stem girth at 5 months after planting

| Treatment factors | | Stem Height (cm) | Stem Girth (cm) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Factor A | Till | 62.0667b | 6.56b |
| (Tillage practice) | Till and Ridge | 81.6833a | 7.53a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | <i>71.88</i> | <i>7.05</i> |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.0119</i> | <i>0.0184</i> |
| Factor B | Oxfen | 72.25a | 7.13a |
| (Pre emergence treatment) | Primagram | 71.5a | 6.96a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | <i>71.88</i> | <i>7.05</i> |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.915</i> | <i>0.6569</i> |
| Factor C | Force up | 74.15a | 7.26a |
| (Post emergence treatment) | Mechanical weeding | 69.6a | 6.83a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | <i>71.88</i> | <i>7.05</i> |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.5201</i> | <i>0.2565</i> |

Values in the same column, followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly ($P\leq 0.05$) according to Tukey's honestly significance test

The Influence of Weed Control Treatment Interactions on Cassava Plant Height and Stem Girth at 5 Months After Planting

The Influence of Weed Control Treatment Interactions on Cassava Plant Height At 5 Months After Planting:

Table 5 shows the influence of weed control treatment interactions on cassava plant height. The combinations of tillage practices and pre-emergence weed control treatments did not significantly ($p>0.05$) affect the stem height. Till and ridge \times Oxfen treatment combinations, had the highest stem height at the studied site. Plots where till \times Primagram herbicide treatment combinations were applied had the lowest stem height.

The combinations of tillage practices and post-emergence weed control treatments did not significantly ($p>0.05$) affect the stem height. Till and ridge \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations showed the highest stem height. The plots where till \times mechanical weeding treatment combinations applied showed the lowest stem height at the studied site. The combinations of pre-emergence weed control and post-emergence weed control treatments did not significantly ($p>0.05$) affect the stem height. At the studied site, Oxfen \times Force up herbicide treatment combination showed the highest stem height while Oxfen \times mechanical weeding treatment combination showed the lowest stem height.

Also, the application of tillage practice, pre-emergence herbicides and post-emergence weed control treatment combinations did not significantly ($p>0.05$) increase the stem height. Till and Ridge \times Oxfen \times Force up and Till and Ridge \times Oxfen \times Mechanical weeding resulted to the highest cassava stem height while till \times Oxfen \times Mechanical weeding led to the lowest stem height.

The Influence of Weed Control Treatment Interactions on Cassava Plant Stem Girth At 5 Months After Planting:

Table 5 shows the influence of weed control treatment interactions on cassava stem girth. The combinations of tillage practices and pre-emergence weed control did not significantly ($p>0.05$) affect the stem girth. Till and Ridge \times Oxfen treatment combinations, showed the highest stem girth at the studied site. Plots where till \times Primagram herbicide treatment combinations were applied showed the lowest stem girth. The treatment combinations of tillage practices and post-emergence weed control did not significantly ($p>0.05$) affect the stem girth at Ilonga sites. Till and Ridge \times Mechanical weeding treatment combinations showed the highest stem girth. The plots where till \times Mechanical weeding treatment combinations were applied, showed the lowest stem girth. The treatment combinations of pre-emergence and post-emergence weed control did not significantly ($p>0.05$) affect the stem girth. At Ilonga site, Oxfen \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations showed the highest stem girth while Oxfen \times Mechanical weeding treatment combinations showed the lowest stem girth.

The application of tillage practice, pre-emergence herbicides and post-emergence weed control treatment combinations did not significantly ($p>0.05$) increase the cassava stem girth in the studied sites. Till and Ridge \times Oxfen \times Force up and Till and Ridge \times Oxfen \times Mechanical weeding treatment combinations had the highest stem girth while cassava plants in till \times Oxfen \times Mechanical weeding treatment combinations had the lowest stem girth.

Table 5: The influence of weed control treatment interactions on cassava plant height and stem girth at 5 months after planting

| Treatment interactions | | Ilonga village | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Stem Height (cm) | Stem Girth (cm) |
| A \times B | Till \times Oxfen | 59.93a | 6.58a |
| | Till \times Primagram | 64.2a | 6.53a |
| | Till and Ridge \times Oxfen | 84.57a | 7.67a |
| | Till and Ridge \times Primagram | 78.8a | 7.38a |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.4789</i> | <i>0.7555</i> |
| A \times C | Till \times Force up | 66.07a | 7.05ab |
| | Till \times Mechanical weeding | 59.07a | 6.07b |
| | Till and Ridge \times Force up | 82.23a | 7.47ab |
| | Till and Ridge \times Mechanical weeding | 81.12a | 7.58a |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.6248</i> | <i>0.1548</i> |
| B \times C | Oxfen \times Force up | 75.87a | 7.5a |
| | Oxfen \times Mechanical weeding | 68.63a | 6.75a |
| | Primagram \times Force up | 72.43a | 7.02a |

| | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 70.57a | 6.9a |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.7033</i> | <i>0.4026</i> |
| A×B×C | Till × Oxfen × Force up | 65.93a | 7.33a |
| | Till × Oxfen × Mechanical weeding | 53.93a | 5.83a |
| | Till × Primagram × Force up | 66.2a | 6.77a |
| | Till × Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 62.2a | 6.3a |
| | Till and Ridge × Oxfen × Force up | 85.8a | 7.67a |
| | Till and Ridge × Oxfen × Mechanical weeding | 83.33a | 7.67a |
| | Till and Ridge × Primagram × Force up | 78.67a | 7.27a |
| | Till and Ridge × Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 78.93a | 7.5a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | 71.9 | 7.04 |
| | <i>CV%</i> | 15.9 | 7 |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.8515</i> | <i>0.5946</i> |

Values in the same column, followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) according to Tukey's honestly significance test, CV = coefficient of variation, A = Tillage practice, B = Pre-emergence weed control treatment, C = post-emergence weed control treatment.

The Influence of Tillage Practice, Pre-Emergence and Post-Emergence Weed Control Treatments on Cassava Yield, Biomass and Dry Matter Content:

Results on the influence of tillage practices on cassava fresh root weight ($t\ ha^{-1}$), cassava biomass ($t\ ha^{-1}$) and cassava dry weight are shown in Table 6 below. Tillage practice significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) influenced the cassava fresh root weight, cassava biomass and cassava dry weight respectively. Till and ridge treatment showed the highest cassava fresh root weight, biomass and dry weight. The pre-emergence and post-emergence treatments did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affect the cassava fresh root weight, biomass and dry weight. Oxfen treatment showed the highest cassava fresh root weight, biomass and lowest dry weight for pre-emergence treatment while mechanical weeding led to lowest cassava fresh root weight, highest biomass and highest dry weight for post-emergence treatment.

Table 6: The influence of tillage practice, pre-emergence weeds control and post-emergence weed control treatments on cassava yield, biomass and dry matter content at the studied site

| Treatment factors | | Fresh root weight ($t\ ha^{-1}$) | Cassava biomass ($t\ ha^{-1}$) | Cassava dry weight (g)/ 500 g sample |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Factor A | Till | 8.2b | 18.12b | 144.58a |
| (Tillage practice) | Till and Ridge | 21.14a | 36.57a | 194.58a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | <i>14.67</i> | <i>27.35</i> | <i>169.58</i> |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.0109</i> | <i>0.0211</i> | <i>0.0502</i> |
| Factor B | Oxfen | 14.8a | 27.7a | 167.92a |
| (Pre-emergence treatment) | Primagram | 14.55a | 26.98a | 171.25a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | <i>14.68</i> | <i>27.34</i> | <i>169.59</i> |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.9561</i> | <i>0.9214</i> | <i>0.8895</i> |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Factor C | Force up | 14.85a | 26.57a | 164.58a |
| (Post-emergence treatment) | Mechanical weeding | 14.5a | 28.11a | 174.58a |
| | <i>Mean</i> | <i>14.68</i> | <i>27.4</i> | <i>169.58</i> |
| | <i>p value</i> | <i>0.9391</i> | <i>0.8337</i> | <i>0.6775</i> |

Values in the same column, followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) according to Tukey's honestly significance test

The Influence of Weed Control Treatment Interactions on Cassava Fresh Root Weight, Biomass and Dry Matter Content

The results presented on Table 7 shows the influence of weed control treatment interactions on cassava fresh root weight, biomass and dry matter content at Ilonga sites. The combinations of tillage practices and pre-emergence weed control treatments did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affect the cassava fresh weight, biomass and dry weight. At Ilonga site, Till and Ridge \times Oxfen treatment combinations showed the highest cassava fresh weight, biomass and dry weight while till \times Oxfen herbicide treatment combinations showed the lowest cassava fresh weight, biomass and dry weight.

The treatment combination of tillage practices and post-emergence weed control did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affect the cassava fresh weight, cassava biomass and cassava dry weight. Till and Ridge \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations showed the highest cassava fresh weight, while Till and Ridge \times Mechanical weeding treatment combination showed the highest cassava biomass and dry weight. Similarly, till \times Force up herbicide treatment combinations showed the lowest cassava fresh weight, biomass and dry weight.

The treatment combinations of pre-emergence and post-emergence weed control treatments did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affect the cassava fresh weight, biomass and dry weight. The application of tillage practice, pre-emergence herbicides and post-emergence weed control treatment combinations did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affected both cassava fresh root weight and cassava biomass.

Till and Ridge \times Oxfen \times Force up treatment combinations produced the highest fresh root weight and biomass while till \times Oxfen \times Mechanical weeding treatment combinations had the lowest fresh root weight and biomass.

Also, weed control treatment combinations did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affect the cassava dry matter content. Till \times Primagram \times Mechanical weeding, Till and Ridge \times Oxfen \times Mechanical weeding and Till and Ridge \times Oxfen \times Force up treatment combinations showed the highest cassava dry matter content. Till and Ridge \times Oxfen \times Force up and till \times Oxfen \times Force up treatment combinations gave the lowest dry matter content.

Table 7: The influence of weed control treatment combinations (interaction) on cassava fresh root weight, biomass and dry weight at Ilonga

| Treatment interactions | | Fresh root weight (t ha ⁻¹) | Cassava biomass (t ha ⁻¹) | Cassava dry weight (g)/500 g sample |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| A × B | Till × Oxfen | 5.91a | 16.24a | 132.5a |
| | Till × Primagram | 10.49a | 19.99a | 156.67a |
| | Till and Ridge × Oxfen | 23.68a | 39.16a | 203.33a |
| | Till and Ridge × Primagram | 18.6a | 33.97a | 185.83a |
| | <i>p value</i> | 0.2983 | 0.5448 | 0.3906 |
| A × C | Till × Force up | 7.86a | 16.75a | 142.5a |
| | Till × Mechanical weeding | 8.54a | 19.48a | 146.67a |
| | Till and Ridge × Force up | 21.83a | 36.39a | 186.67a |
| | Till and Ridge × Mechanical weeding | 20.45a | 36.74a | 202.5a |
| | <i>p value</i> | 0.8209 | 0.8717 | 0.808 |
| B × C | Oxfen × Force up | 17.37a | 31.63a | 163.33a |
| | Oxfen × Mechanical weeding | 12.22a | 23.78a | 172.5a |
| | Primagram × Force up | 12.32a | 21.51a | 165.83a |
| | Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 16.77a | 32.44a | 176.67a |
| | <i>p value</i> | 0.3013 | 0.2116 | 0.9723 |
| A×B×C | Till × Oxfen × Force up | 9.17a | 20.63a | 123.33a |
| | Till × Oxfen × Mechanical weeding | 2.66a | 11.86a | 141.67a |
| | Till × Primagram × Force up | 6.55a | 12.87a | 161.67a |
| | Till × Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 14.43a | 27.1a | 151.67a |
| | Till and Ridge × Oxfen × Force up | 25.58a | 42.62a | 203.33a |
| | Till and Ridge × Oxfen × Mechanical weeding | 21.79a | 35.7a | 203.33a |
| | Till and Ridge × Primagram × Force up | 18.09a | 30.15a | 170.00a |
| | Till and Ridge × Primagram × Mechanical weeding | 19.11a | 37.79a | 201.67a |
| | Mean | 14.67 | 27.34 | 170 |
| | CV% | 59.8 | 54.1 | 33 |
| <i>p value</i> | 0.6014 | 0.7735 | 0.5342 | |

Values in the same column, followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) according to Tukey's honestly significance test, CV = coefficient of variation, A = Tillage practice, B = Pre-emergence weed control treatment, C = post-emergence weed control treatment.

Relationship Between Cassava Growth Parameters and Cassava Fresh Root Weight at Ilonga Site

The relationship between cassava growth parameters and cassava fresh root weight is shown in Table 8. The correlation analysis revealed that, there was a highly significant, strong positive relationship ($r = 0.925$, $p < 0.001$) between the cassava stem height and the cassava fresh root weight. Also, there was a significant strong positive relationship ($r = 0.781$, $p < 0.05$) between the cassava stem girth and the cassava fresh root weight.

Table 8: Relationship between cassava stem height and girth and cassava fresh root weight

| | Stem girth | Stem height | Fresh root weight |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Stem girth | 1 | | |
| Stem height | 0.916*** | 1 | |
| Fresh root weight | 0.781* | 0.925*** | 1 |

n = 24, df = n-2, *Significant correlation p = 0.05, Significant correlation p = 0.01 and ***Significant correlation p = 0.001

Influence of Tillage Practice, Pre-Emergence and Post-Emergence Weed Control Options on Soil pH, N, P, K, Ca and Mg Nutrient

Results from the study revealed that, the selected weed control treatment combinations had not significantly affected soil pH, amount of N, P, K, Ca and Mg nutrients.

DISCUSSION

Effect of Integrating Tillage and Herbicides Control Option(s) on Weeds Growth

From the study findings, it revealed that, the integration of tillage practice (till + ridge) and the use of pre-emergence herbicide having 290 g/L S-metolachlor and 370 g/L atrazine active ingredient significantly hinders the weeds regrowth for a long period. The reasons for this could be due to tillage exposes the underground seed to the sunlight which kills the exposed weeds while ridging buries the existing weeds thus killing them, these results are in accordance to that of [4] who reported that, farm preparation by tillage exposes the underground weeds and weed seeds to the sunlight which is not favorable for seed germination, thus reducing their ability to germinate.

Also, the use of herbicides made up of 290 g/L S-metolachlor and 370 g/L atrazine kills the weed seedlings as these herbicides are active to the tender weeds. The results are similar to those of Udensi et al. and Godwin et al. [19, 20] who reported 290 g/L S-metolachlor and 370 g/L atrazine are highly effective in controlling early emerged weeds during the starting of the planting season.

Effect of Integrating Tillage and Mechanical Weeding Control Option(s) on Weeds Growth

The study results showed that, integration of tillage practices and mechanical weeding required more than three weeding operations for its maximum weed control. The most commonly weeds observed were *Asclepias syriaca*, *Trichodesma zeylanicum*, *Commelina benghalensis*, *Cyperus rotundus*, *Ocimum sp*, *Echinochloa colona*, *Cynodon species*, *Dactyloctenium aegyptium*, *Digitaria sp* and *Portulaca oleracea*. This was observed as a result of mechanical machines only killed the above ground weed parts and leaving the below ground parts alive, thus stimulating their regrowth as most of these observed weeds have reproductive ability to reproduce both sexually and asexually and their adaptive ability to grow in a wide range of soil. Edensi et al. and Ekeleme et al. [3, 19] also stated when mechanical machines like backpack weeder are used, more than four times weeding operations are required in order to control perennial weeds.

Influence of Weed Control Treatments on Cassava Plant Height and Stem Girth At 5 Months After Planting

The results showed that, the integration of weed control option(s) had significant influence on cassava plant height and girth. The observed effect could be probably due to reduced competition on soil nutrients and sunlight between cassava plants and weeds, hence favors the cassava grows and expansion with vigor. These results are in accordance with that of Velmurugan et al. [21] who reported presence of weeds and their management activities can highly affect the growth of cassava stem and girth. Also, [4] showed tillage helps root penetration by loosening the compacted soils and exposes beneath the ground weed seeds to the direct sunlight thus helping the crop to grow and the application of herbicides control weeds that emerged at the time of application or those weed already emerged thus the crop planted in a weed free soil.

Relationship Between Cassava Growth Parameters and Cassava Fresh Root Weight

Results showed that, at Ilonga site, there was a highly significant strong positive relationship between the cassava stem height and the cassava fresh root weight, $r = 0.925$, $p < 0.001$. There was a significant strong positive relationship between the cassava stem girth and the cassava fresh root weight, $r = 0.781$, $p < 0.05$. This profound effect of growth parameter of cassava on the final tuber yield could be due to the fact that, growth parameters tend to show a significant positive contribution to the tuber weight at a maximum vegetative phase of cassava. During this stage, the increase in cassava height and girth goes in accordance to the increase in cassava fresh root weight. Similar results were reported by Edet et al., Amarullah et al. and Misganaw and Bayou [11, 22, 23] who observed the increase in stem height and girth is positively correlated with the increase in fresh root weight and dry matter yield. This occurs at the maximum vegetative phase of cassava.

Reasons for Lower Cassava Fresh Root Weight (tha^{-1}) and Fresh Biomass at The Studied Site

There was a non-significant difference observed in fresh root weight and biomass of cassava at the studied site that may be attributed to the difference in soil characteristics and weather condition during 2019/2020 planting season. At Ilonga there were high rainfall which was not favorable for cassava growth. The high rainfall intensity and distribution usually lead to poor roots formation thus affecting the cassava fresh root weight and its biomass. These results are in agreement with that of [12] who reported that there was a positive influence of optimum rainfall on root yield of many cassava varieties, particularly during the first 4-6 months of growth. Additionally, the soil characteristics of the studied site could be another reason contributing to the poor fresh root yield and biomass obtained. As it was observed that, the soil texture was Silt clay loamy which gives inadequate room for the cassava root expansion. These results were similar to that of Onasanya et al. [24] who indicated that light-textured soil had high cassava root yield compared to soils with high clay content. Also, other soil nutrients at Ilonga site were high above the suitable nutrient range for cassava production thus it ought to cause the toxicity effect toward cassava growth and root formation. Nutrient like Calcium found to be $10.8 \text{ cmol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ while the suitable range for cassava production is between 1.0 and $5.0 \text{ cmol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ thus this could cause the toxicity effect to root yield and cassava growth obtained. The similar results were explained by [17] who explained excess nutrient in the soil could cause

reduction in cassava performance as the example of excess Calcium content in the soil reduces cassava root and shoot growth.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

From the study findings, it is concluded that, integration of weed management option(s) highly helps in controlling weeds in cassava production than the use of single treatment. Results showed the combination of till + ridges, appropriate application of pre-emergence herbicides Primagram Gold (a.i 290 g/L S-metolachlor + 370 g/L atrazine) or Oxfen (a.i Oxyfluorfen 24% EC) and post emergence herbicides Force up (a.i 480 g/L of Glyphosate-Isopropylamine salt) provided significant conducive environment for cassava growth and root formation as compared to the use of mechanical weeding alone. Thus, for maximum cassava production it is recommended that, early land preparation by tillage and ridging, proper use of pre-emergence herbicide made of S-metolachlor and atrazine and proper use of glyphosate as post-emergence herbicide in integrated way could greatly provide ample time for cassava growth and root expansion as they will be free from weeds for a longer period of time. Also, more studies should be conducted on the residue effect of continuous application of herbicides in cassava plant and roots produced.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This is part of MSc. Research Dissertation by Joseph Adonia Leonard submitted at Sokoine University of Agriculture, funded by International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) under the African Cassava Agronomy Initiative (ACAI) project.

Reference

1. Sims, B., et al., *Sustainable weed management for conservation agriculture: Options for smallholder farmers*. Agriculture, 2018. 8(8): p. 118.
2. Islami, T., E.I. Wisnubroto, and W.H. Utomo, *Effect of chemical and mechanical weed control on cassava yield, soil quality and erosion under cassava cropping system*. Journal of Advanced Agricultural Technologies Vol, 2017. 4(1): p. 57-61.
3. Ekeleme, F., et al., *Assessment of weeds of cassava and farmers management practices in Nigeria*. 2019.
4. Schwartz-Lazaro, L.M. and J.T. Copes, *A review of the soil seedbank from a weed scientists perspective*. Agronomy, 2019. 9(7): p. 369.
5. Kraehmer, H., et al., *Herbicides as weed control agents: state of the art: II. Recent achievements*. Plant physiology, 2014. 166(3): p. 1132-1148.
6. Ekeleme, F., et al., *Weed management in cassava in Africa: Challenges and opportunities*. Outlooks on Pest Management, 2016. 27(5): p. 208-212.
7. Westwood, J.H., et al., *Weed management in 2050: Perspectives on the future of weed science*. Weed science, 2018. 66(3): p. 275-285.
8. Reshma, N., et al., *Integrated weed management in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz)*. Journal of Root Crops, 2016. 42(1): p. 22-27.
9. Kajembe, G.C., et al., *The Kilosa district REDD+ pilot project, Tanzania. A socioeconomic baseline study* IIED,

2013.

10. Zakayo, R., *Pastoral adaptive capacity in the changing climate in Kilosa district*, 2015, Sokoine University of Agriculture.
11. Edet, M.A., et al., *Relationship of cassava growth parameters with yield, yield related components and harvest time in Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria*. J. Nat. Sci. Res, 2015. 5(9): p. 87-92.
12. Ntawuruhunga, P. and A.G. Dixon, *Quantitative variation and interrelationship between factors influencing cassava yield*. Journal of Applied Biosciences, 2010. 26(4): p. 1594-1602.
13. Moeini, M.M., M.A. Baghestani, and H.R. Mashhadi, *Introducing an abundance index for assessing weed flora in survey studies*. Weed Biology and Management, 2008. 8(3): p. 172-180.
14. Jones Jr, J.B., *Plant nutrition and soil fertility manual* 2012: CRC press.
15. Imakumbili, M.L.E., *Influence of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium on cyanogenic glucoside production in cassava grown in some soils of Mtwara Region, Tanzania*, 2018, Sokoine University of Agriculture.
16. Landon, J.R., *Booker tropical soil manual: a handbook for soil survey and agricultural land evaluation in the tropics and subtropics* 2014: Routledge.
17. Howeler, R.H., *Cassava mineral nutrition and fertilization*, in *Cassava: Biology, production and utilization* 2001, CABI Wallingford UK. p. 115-147.
18. Motsara, M., *Guide to laboratory establishment for plant nutrient analysis* 2015: Scientific Publishers.
19. Udensi, U.E., et al., *Adoption of chemical weed control technology among cassava farmers in south eastern Nigeria*. Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment, 2012. 10(1 part 2): p. 667-674.
20. Atser, G., et al., *The ABC of weed management in cassava production in Nigeria: a training manual*. 2017.
21. Velmurugan, M., S. Manickam, and L. Pugalendhi, *Effect of Weed Management Practices on The Growth and Yield of Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz)*. Journal of Root Crops, 2017. 43(1): p. 34-38.
22. Amarullah, A., et al., *Correlation of growth parameters with yield of two cassava varieties*. Ilmu Pertanian (Agricultural Science), 2017. 1(3): p. 100-104.
23. Misganaw, C.D. and W.D. Bayou, *Tuber yield and yield component performance of cassava (Manihot esculenta) varieties in Fafen District, Ethiopia*. International Journal of Agronomy, 2020. 2020: p. 1-6.
24. Onasanya, O.O., et al., *On-farm assessment of cassava root yield response to tillage, plant density, weed control and fertilizer application in southwestern Nigeria*. Field Crops Research, 2021. 262: p. 108038.