

**FACTORS AFFECTING MARKET ACCESS AMONG SPICE FARMERS IN
ZANZIBAR**

MARYAM ALLY HASSAN

**A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS IN RURAL
DEVELOPMENT OF SOKOINE UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE.
MOROGORO, TANZANIA.**

ABSTRACT

Using survey data and secondary information, this study was carried out to analyse factors affecting market access among spice farmers in Zanzibar. The study's specific objectives were (i) to examine economic characteristics of spice farmers and spice traders, (ii) to assess marketing channels for spices produced in Zanzibar, (iii) to analyse spice market potential in Zanzibar (Demand and Supply), and (iv) to examine factors influencing spice market access among spice farmers. This study was conducted in Zanzibar and primary data were collected through questionnaires from 85 smallholder farmers, 20 spice traders and 5 key informants. Binary logistic regression was performed to examine relationship among market factors and farmers' access to spice market. The findings of this study showed that spice farmers were engaged in spice production based on different production and economic characteristics including farm occupation, association membership, land category and annual farm income. The analytical structure of marketing channels identified in the study area provided a framework for mapping and explaining potentials in market access for spice farmers in Zanzibar. The study also identified spice market potentials for supply and demand aspects. The results also pointed out significant influence made to market access among farmers by distant market, market information access, market organization, number of spices sold, quantity of spice sold, spice price and quantity of spices demanded. For spice market access among spice farmers the study recommends developing the spice value chain, formation of stronger spice farmers' associations or networks, establishment of spice price monitoring authority, entrepreneurship training among spice farmers and a study on performance of spice tourism to spice farmers' income.

DECLARATION

I, Maryam Ally Hassan, do hereby declare to the Senate of Sokoine University of Agriculture that this is my own original work done within the period of registration and that it has neither been submitted nor being submitted in any other institution.

.....
Maryam Ally Hassan
(M.A. Rural Development Candidate)

.....
Date

The above declaration is confirmed by

.....
Dr. K.M.K. Bengesi
(Supervisor)

.....
Date

COPYRIGHT

No part of this dissertation may be reproduced, stored in any retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written permission of the author or Sokoine University of Agriculture in that behalf.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In conducting this study, the researcher feels indebted to support given by the following people without whom the workload would have been unbearable.

First and foremost, I would like to thank The Almighty God for giving me strength and health in pursuing my studies for two years. Secondly, I would like to thank my financial support provided by Enhancing Pro-poor Innovation in Natural resources and Agricultural Value Chain (EPINAV) for sponsoring my postgraduate studies. The EPINAV support immensely contributed to the successful completion of this study. Thirdly, my thanks should go to my supervisor Dr. K.M.K. Bengesi for his constructive criticism, guidance, diligent support and indispensable encouragement which led to the completion and success of this study. I sincerely express my genuine thanks to him.

Fourthly, my deepest thanks also are due to all people who, in one way or another, assisted in the accomplishment of this study, especially my beloved husband Mr. Juma Omar Abdalla for his encouragement and support during the entire period of the study. Fifthly, special thanks are extended to my family, my mother (Mrs. Tahia), father (Mr. Ally) and my three young sisters for their persistent love, support as well as encouragement they gave me throughout my academic period. Lastly, I would like to thank all my friends and everybody who in one way or another supported and encouraged me as well as for love they gave me.

God bless you all

DEDICATION

A courteous dedication of this work is to my daughter Amina, sons Jamal and Fahmi for their endurance while I was away pursuing Masters Programme. May Allah guide and protect them. Amen.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
DECLARATION	iii
COPYRIGHT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
DEDICATION.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xiv
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement and Justification	3
1.3 Objectives.....	6
1.3.1 General objective.....	6
1.3.2 Specific Objectives.....	6
1.4 Research Questions	6
1.5 Hypothesis	6
1.6 Key Terms and Definitions	6
1.6.1 Spices	6
1.6.2 Market	7
1.6.3 Marketing channels	7

CHAPTER TWO.....	8
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
2.1 Overview of Zanzibar Spice Production	8
2.1.1 Concept of smallholder farmers	8
2.1.2 Status of spice production in Zanzibar	8
2.1.3 Contribution of spices from socio-economic development.....	9
2.1.4 Marketing of spices in Zanzibar	10
2.2 Constraints Faced by Smallholder Farmers in Market Access.....	10
2.2.1 Constraints on production	10
2.2.2 High transaction costs	11
2.2.3 Lack of information on markets	12
2.2.4 Grades and standards.....	13
2.2.5 Organisation in markets	14
2.2.6 Legal environment.....	15
2.2.7 Storage facilities.....	15
2.2.8 Market transport	16
2.2.9 Value adding	17
2.3 Market Potential of Spice Products	17
2.4 Theoretical Framework for Market Access.....	18
2.4.1 Power-Dependency Theory.....	18
2.4.2 Networking Theory and Spice Market Access.....	19
2.5 Conceptual Framework	20
CHAPTER THREE	22
3.0 METHODOLOGY.....	22
3.2 Research Design.....	22
3.3 Sampling Procedure	23

3.4 Methods and Tools of Data Collection	25
3.4.1 Primary data	25
3.4.2 Secondary data	26
3.5 Data Analysis	26
3.6 Ethical Consideration	28
3.6.1 Informed consent and voluntary participation	28
3.6.2 Confidentiality.....	28
3.6.3 Physical harm	28
3.7 Reliability and Validity	29
CHAPTER FOUR.....	30
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	30
4.1 Demographic Characteristics	30
4.1.1 Distribution of farmers by shehia.....	30
4.1.2 Distribution of farmers by sex.....	31
4.1.3 Distribution of farmers by age	33
4.1.4 Distribution of farmers by marital status.....	33
4.1.5 Distribution of farmers by level of education	34
4.2 Production and Economic Characteristics of Spice Farmers	34
4.2.1 Distribution of farmers by farm occupation	35
4.2.2 Distribution of farmers by association membership	35
4.2.3 Distribution of farmers by land size	36
4.2.4 Distribution of farmers by annual income.....	37
4.3 Spice Traders' Characteristics.....	38
4.3.1 Distribution of Spice Traders by Sex	38
4.3.2 Distribution of spice traders by age.....	38
4.3.3 Distribution of spice traders by level of education	39

4.3.4 Distribution of spice traders by category of spice trading	39
4.3.5 Distribution of spice traders by years of spice business.....	39
4.4 Marketing Channels for Spices Produced in Zanzibar.....	40
4.4.1 Spice farmers and sales points	41
4.4.2 Distribution of spice traders by sourcing spice from producers.....	44
4.5 Spice Market Potential (Demand and Supply) in Zanzibar.....	45
4.5.1 Types and quantity of crops produced by farmers	46
4.5.2 Types and quantity of spice products traded by spice traders.....	47
4.6 Factors Influencing Market Access Among Spice Farmers.....	49
4.6.1 Market organisation.....	50
4.6.2 Quantity of spice product sold	51
4.6.3 Market information access	52
4.6.4 Number of spice crops sold.....	53
4.6.5 Distance to market places.....	54
4.6.6 Quantity of spice crops demanded	54
4.6.7 Price of spice crops	55
CHAPTER FIVE.....	56
5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	56
5.1 Conclusion.....	56
5.2 Recommendations	57
REFERENCES	59
APPENDICES	68

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Sample Size Framework	25
Table 2: Distribution of Farmers by Demographic Characteristics	32
Table 3: Distribution of Farmers by Production and Economic Characteristics.....	37
Table 4: Distribution of Traders Characteristics	40
Table 5: Distribution of Farmers by Sales Point	43
Table 6: Spice Traders by Spice Sourcing Points	45
Table 7: Types and Quantity of Spice Crops Produced by Farmers	47
Table 8: Types and Quantity of Spice Products Traded by Spice Traders.....	49
Table 9: Results of Logistic Regression for Market Access among Spice Farmers	52

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework.....21

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Smallholder Farmers' Questionnaire.....68

Appendix 2: Spice Traders Questionnaire72

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EPINAV	Enhancing Pro-poor Innovation in Natural Resources and Agricultural Value Chain
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
MALNR	Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Natural Resources
MKUZA	<i>Mkakati wa Kukuza Uchumi na Kupunguza Umasikini Zanzibar</i>
NGOs	Non - Governmental Organizations
RGZ	Revolutionary Government of Zanzibar
TZS	Tanzania shillings
USD	US Dollars
ZAFFIDE	Zanzibar Association for Farmers and Fishers Development
ZCCFSP	Zanzibar Cash Crop Farming Systems Project
ZSGRP	Zanzibar Strategy for Growth and Reduction of Poverty
ZSTC	Zanzibar State Trading Corporation

CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The world spice production trend shows that “India is the largest producer of spices, contributing to 86% of total world production”, followed by other countries with production percentage like China (4%), Bangladesh (3%), Pakistan (2%), Turkey (2%), Nepal (1%), and other countries (3%) such as SriLanka. These figures are only for export; they do not include local consumption (Samaratunga, 2007). The figures show that the international market for spices is dominated by one producer (India) and it is further noted that the demand for spice products in the USA and European markets is increasing from USD 18 billions 2000 to 54.9 billion in 2009 (Kledal *et al.*, 2013). This could be a great opportunity for the developing countries like Tanzania, specifically Zanzibar to take this advantage.

The world market for spices is growing rapidly and the opportunity for developing countries to tap the market potential is huge (International Trade Centre, 2001). Spices play an important role in Zanzibar's history and economy. In the early 16th century, Portuguese traders introduced various spices and plants to Zanzibar from their colonies in South America and India, with the aim to control the island as a major trading point along the spice trading route.

In the beginning of the 19th century, Omani Arabs arrived in Zanzibar to survey the island's spice farming potential and realized that the hot climate and regular rainfall provide perfect conditions to grow spices. Thereafter, Sultan Seyyid Said, the first Sultan of Zanzibar, successfully developed Zanzibar as a spice-growing area from thereon.

Following the end of the slave trade in the late 19th century, spices became the main source of income for the island and the government farms at Kizimbani and Kidichi were established of which about 15 spice and fruit farms are still operational to date (Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Natural Resources - MALNR, 1993).

In the context of Zanzibar, the agricultural sector has potential to the economy and livelihoods of people as it is in many Sub-Saharan countries (Mahamoud, 2013). The sector contributes 27% to Zanzibar's GDP and accounts for over 50% of foreign exchange earnings and employs 60% of the labour force (ARIF, 2011). The agricultural sector through the spice sub-sector also, contributes to the development of the tourism sector (the leading economic sector in Zanzibar) via spice tours. About 56,000 to 100,000 foreign visitors arriving in Zanzibar annually visit spice farms (Zanzibar website, 2013). Spices under the agricultural sector and its related products are among priority sectors as stipulated in Zanzibar Export Development Strategies document (ARIF, 2011). Therefore, the spice sub-sector has an immense potential for economic development in Zanzibar and poverty reduction through creation and expansion of employment opportunities and distribution of income and foreign exchange earnings.

Zanzibar being the most important spice producing area in Tanzania began its effort to look for alternative export crops to diversify its export earnings from cloves in the early 1990s. The diversification was facilitated by change in government trading policy and trade arrangements towards cash crops farming system after falls in the export value of cloves, on which the island's economy traditionally depended. The fall on the volume exported was due to cyclical nature of the crop, while export prices declined on account of increased supply in the world from other clove producing countries such as Indonesia. A survey conducted by the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Natural Resources

(MALNR) Zanzibar under the Zanzibar Cash Crop Farming Systems Project (ZCCFSP) identified four crops (chillies, hibiscus, turmeric, and mango (*Mangifera indica*) as priority crops (MALNR, 1993). The inclusion of two spice crops (chillies *Capsicum spp* and turmeric) in plans to augment earnings from cloves (also a spice) reflects the traditional importance accorded to spices in Zanzibar (Akyoo and Lazaro, 2007).

Spice farmers produce spice products and sell them for their livelihood. Based on the current governance structure of spice industry in Zanzibar, cloves are controlled by the national government through the Zanzibar State Trading Corporation (ZSTC) (Mahamoud, 2013). Farmers are required to sell their cloves (*Eugenia aromatica*) only to ZSTC, not to other markets as a government strategy to control foreign exchange earnings. Other spices like cardamom (*Eletharia cardamomum*), cinnamon (*Cinnamomum verum*), vanilla (*Vannila planfolia*), turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) and black pepper (*Piper nigrum*), for a case of this study, are sold to different traders or market places. The reasons for the farmers to sell these spices to these traders were unorganised spice business, limited market access of their products and unsatisfactory market participation. Trading of these spices is through channels like middlemen (intermediaries), through spice tours (tourists visited in Zanzibar) and other traders (Akyoo and Lazaro, 2007). Therefore, it was more important to find factors affecting market access among spice farmers. The findings inform interventions to support smallholder farmers so that they participate consistently in lucrative spice markets.

1.2 Problem Statement and Justification

Spice farming is essential for income generation in rural areas and for the establishment of sustainable production system in semi-arid areas. Smallholder farmers in Zanzibar mainly depend on subsistence farming and other sources of income, spices being among farmers

products. Spices can be used to flavour bread, butter, meat, soups, and vegetables as well as make medicines and perfumes. Despite spice being a high value crop in Zanzibar agriculture which contributes to 27% of the GDP, smallholder farmers have failed to benefit from selling the crop direct to retailers or consumers (Mlingi and Rajab, 2009). These farmers sell their produce to brokers and wholesalers at farm. These market intermediaries supply the bulk products of Unguja spices to retailers at Mwanakwerekwe and Darajani markets. Finally, the retailers sell spice products to consumers.

In their participation in agricultural markets, spice farmers find themselves at a major disadvantage. Ending up with low farm gate price makes spice producers have limited market access and hence low income compared to middlemen who do not put efforts in spice production. This is a clear evidence to believe that there is something wrong with the present marketing system of spices.

A study done by Akyoo and Lazaro (2007) in relation to spice industry identified spice chains dominated by the government through the Zanzibar State Trading Corporation (ZSTC) for cloves on one hand, and private companies for other spices like black pepper and cinnamon on the other hand. The studies mainly focused on agricultural marketing information, profiling spices grown in Tanzania, and documenting actors involved, including the small-scale farmers. Those studies have less researched on the position of the smallholder farmers (as primary producers) in the spice market access and factors influencing market access to spice farmers. Therefore, relationships between those factors and market access by smallholder farmers are not documented. In this regard, this study intended to examine factors affecting market access of spice farmers in Zanzibar. The findings of this study will contribute to enhancing smallholder farmers overcoming factors

that are currently preventing them from accessing reliable spice markets and hence participating in spice market chain.

The spice industry, if properly exploited, can accelerate the potential for smallholder spice farmers' participation towards overcoming economic and social conditions prevailing in Zanzibar such as unemployment. There is limited information addressing the issue of how spice farmers can be empowered to benefit from market access. Therefore, it was the essence of this study to identify factors that are currently preventing spice farmers from accessing reliable spice markets.

Government and donor policies converge on the need for more effective local development efforts to integrate fully Zanzibar smallholders into the mainstream development process. This study coincides with renewed concern at the policy level in the country to address the problems arising from market liberalisation which may have had considerable impact on information flows and a range of ancillary marketing functions including storage, transportation, financial aspects, food processing. The results of this study will make an important contribution towards addressing the fallouts of the marketing reforms on a sustained basis, especially as they relate to the special circumstances of the emerging spice farmers and the smallholders in Zanzibar.

The study is in line with the implementation of various national and international policies, strategies, conventions and programmes aiming at improving national and local economy such as Millennium Development Goals, Zanzibar Development Vision 2020, the Zanzibar Strategy for Growth and Reduction of Poverty (MKUZA II) and the Zanzibar Agricultural Initiatives by promoting the spice farming and marketing more effectively to generate income and employment opportunities (RGZ, 2002; RGZ, 2010).

1.3 Objectives

1.3.1 General objective

The main objective of this study was to examine factors affecting market access among spice farmers in Zanzibar.

1.3.2 Specific Objectives

- i. To examine economic characteristics of spice farmers and spice traders.
- ii. To assess marketing channels for spices produced in Zanzibar.
- iii. To analyse spice market potential in Zanzibar (Demand and Supply).
- iv. To examine factors influencing spice market access among spice farmers.

1.4 Research Questions

- i. What are the economic characteristics of spice farmers and spice traders?
- ii. What are the marketing channels of spices produced in Zanzibar?
- iii. How are the demand and supply of spice products in Zanzibar?

1.5 Hypothesis

- i. H_0 . Farmers capacity and market factors do not have significant impact on the likelihood of spice market access.
- ii. H_A . Farmers' capacity and market factors have significant impact on the likelihood of spice market access.

1.6 Key Terms and Definitions

1.6.1 Spices

FAO (2005) defines spices as vegetable products used for flavouring, seasoning and imparting aroma in foods. Examples of spices are cardamom, cinnamon, vanilla, cloves

and cumin. In this study, spices refer to cardamom, cinnamon, vanilla, turmeric and black pepper.

1.6.2 Market

According to Kilingo and Kariuki (2001) market is define as an institution within which the forces of demand and supply of a product or service operate; sellers and consumers are in constant communication, and there is exchange in title to goods and/or services.

1.6.3 Marketing channels

Kilingo and Kariuki (2001) define a marketing channel as a business structure of interdependent organizations that reach from the point of product origin to the consumer with the purpose of moving products to their final consumption destination. In this study marketing channels denote farmers selling their spices product to middlemen at farm, retailers or direct to consumers at market places.

1.6.4 Market access

Market access refers to the processes by which people access markets in relation to the nature, efficiency and costs of these processes (Killick *et al.*, 2000). In this study, markets access refers to farmers selling spices directly to consumers or retailers at market places.

CHAPTER TWO

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Overview of Zanzibar Spice Production

2.1.1 Concept of smallholder farmers

Murphy (2010) mentioned smallholder farmers to be termed as small-scale farmers, peasant farmers, resource-poor farmers, subsistence farmers, food deficit farmers, household food security farmers and emerging farmers. In context of Zanzibar, Mahmoud (2013) defines smallholder farmers as persons who earn their living and that of their family by practicing subsistence agriculture through growing crops (food and cash crops) such as spice and rearing animals like chicken, goats and cows. Their capital base is small to invest in large scale agriculture because they get low profits from selling agriculture products.

This definition confirmed with literature that defines small-scale farmers as among people in rural community for whom farming is a major livelihood activity, hold land of two or less hectares, represent roughly 85% of the world's farms, they lack access to inputs, technologies, credit and information, and majority are living in poverty (Murphy, 2010). In this study smallholder farmers refer to farmers producing spices in area of not more than five (5) hectares.

2.1.2 Status of spice production in Zanzibar

Spice and herb production is predominantly undertaken by small scale farmers in the spice growing zones in the famous Zanzibar Islands. Most spices and herbs are economically important for both domestic and export markets. However, like other horticultural crops, spice production is low although there are few exported spices like cinnamon, cloves, ginger, turmeric and garlic to various destinations. The current export

estimates for spice crops is less than US dollars 5 million per year although DTIS (2005) reports the spice export potentials to possibly reach US dollars 15-20 million per year.

Zanzibar has been exporting cloves, black pepper, cardamom, cinnamon, hot chillies, ginger, and so on internationally but in particular to the Gulf States and the Far East. These spice exports have been significant factors in boosting Zanzibar's economic growth, and Zanzibar intends to exploit these important natural resources through encouraging private foreign and domestic investment in increased production capacity and expanded market networks (FAO, 2001). Mainland Tanzania is not recognized as a major spice producing origin, but does have a long established position as a minor supplier of sun-dried (straw-coloured) cardamoms. A wide range of other spices are also present in the mainland agricultural sector, partly due to the proximity and activities in Zanzibar, and partly due to the wide range of climatic niches found in the country.

2.1.3 Contribution of spices from socio-economic development

The contribution of the spice sector to the Zanzibar economy cannot be under rated. However, no figures are available to assess the actual contribution of the spice sector in Zanzibar. Nevertheless, it is obvious that the spice industry is making a major contribution to the national economy in terms of foreign exchange earnings, employment opportunities, rural development and food and nutritional security (Mahamoud, 2013)

The spices sector both for the domestic market as for export (regional and International) creates employment for a large number of people. It is estimated that more than 3000 people are involved in spice crop production in Zanzibar specifically for export (Mahamoud, 2013). Data necessary for assessing the role of the domestic spices sector in the Zanzibar economy are lacking, but it is assumed that an even much larger number of

people are involved in this sector and depend at least partly for their livelihood on production, trade and processing of spices.

2.1.4 Marketing of spices in Zanzibar

Marketing system in Zanzibar differ from those on the Tanzania mainland in two main ways. Firstly, the three main export crops – cloves, copra and chillies are still under state monopoly even following trade liberalization (Mahamoud, 2013). For the spices whose marketing is not controlled such as ginger, black pepper, turmeric, cinnamon and cardamom traders (shopkeepers and exporter) seek out producers, especially when such items are in short supply, or farmers bring their produce to the urban markets and bear all the risks involved.

Secondly, it is the difference in marketing across commodities destined for domestic use or for export. The traders can buy product from farmers and take it to wholesale markets, where they are bought by retailers who sell it to consumers (Mahamoud, 2013). Farmers may also sell their produce directly to retailers, or even to consumers.

2.2 Constraints Faced by Smallholder Farmers in Market Access

Smallholders, especially in less developed countries, have encountered several challenges in gaining access to markets such as constraints on production, high transaction costs, lack of information on markets, grades and standards, organisation in markets, legal environment, storage facilities, market transport and value adding (Salami *et al.*, 2010)

2.2.1 Constraints on production

Producing products for the market requires production resources including land, labour force and capital. Poor access to these assets affects the way in which smallholder farmers

can benefit from opportunities in agricultural markets, and especially in terms of the volume of products traded and the quality of those products (Bienabe *et al.*, 2004). Smallholder farmers lack consistency in terms of producing for the markets due to insufficient access to production resources. The quantities and qualities produced by individual smallholders seldom match the requirements of subsequent actors in the value chain (Ruben *et al.*, 2007).

2.2.2 High transaction costs

Transaction costs are observable and non-observable costs associated with enforcing and transferring property rights from one person to another (Eggertson, 1990). These include the costs of searching for a trading partner with whom to exchange with, the costs of screening partners, of bargaining, monitoring, enforcement and, eventually, transferring the product to its destination (Hobbs, 1997; Jaffee and Morton, 1995). Delgado (1999) identified high transaction costs as the embodiment of market access barriers among resource poor smallholders. These high transaction costs result from individual produce transportation and selling, difficulties in getting trading partners and poor bargaining power (Delgado, 1999). When transaction costs are high, smallholder farmers may cease produce marketing. In other words, with high transaction costs, markets fail in their role of allocating scarce resources to alternative ends. For developing countries, Makhura (2001) explained that high transaction costs prevail among the smallholder farmers. Smallholder farmers are located in remote areas and are geographically dispersed and far away from lucrative markets. There is no doubt that high transaction costs tend to discourage commercialization (Makhura, 2001).

Distance to the market, together with poor infrastructure and poor access to assets and information results in business costs (Senyolo, *et al.*, 2009). Since smallholders are poor,

they find it difficult to compete in lucrative markets due to the high transaction costs. Traders with higher social capital are better able to enter more capital – intensive marketing activities such as wholesaling and long-distance transport, whereas traders with poor social networks face major barriers to enter into the more lucrative market segments (Kherallah and Kirsten, 2002).

2.2.3 Lack of information on markets

Rural producers, and especially small farmers, have little information about the market demand, which is costly to obtain (Baloyi, 2010). They may gather information through contact with other actors in the commodity chain, but the accuracy of this information is not certified, since those actors might be exhibiting “opportunities behaviour” (Bienabe *et al.*, 2004). Smallholder farmers lack information about product prices at the local level, about quality requirements, about the best places and times to sell their products, and about potential buyers. This in turn reduces their ability to trade their products efficiently and to derive the full benefit from the marketable part of their production.

Market information is vital to market participation behaviour of smallholder farmers. Market information allows farmers to take informed marketing decisions that are related to supplying necessary goods, searching for potential buyers, negotiating, enforcing contracts and monitoring. Necessary information includes information on consumer preferences, quantity demanded, prices, produce quality, market requirements and opportunities (Ruijs, 2002). Of equal importance is the source of market information because it determines accuracy of the information. According to Ruijs (2002), smallholder farmers have difficulties in accessing market information, exposing them to a marketing disadvantage. Smallholder farmers normally rely on informal networks (traders, friends and relatives) for market information due to weak public information systems (Ruijs, 2002). However, such

individuals may not have up to date and reliable market information, making the usefulness of the information doubtful.

Additionally, networking theory plays an important role in business due to its value creation, in terms of gathering information and provision of the infrastructure to communicate, purchase, sell products and services and collaborate with others (De Klerk and Kroon, 2007). Farmers relying on informal networks for market information are at risk of getting biased information due to opportunistic behaviour of the more informed group. For instance, Mangisoni (2006) explained that smallholders usually accept low prices for their crops when the broker informs them that their produce is of poor quality. Smallholder farmers accept these low prices mainly because they are unable to negotiate from a well informed position.

2.2.4 Grades and standards

Consumers demand high quality for the goods they buy. In addition, they will not buy food products unless there is a guarantee that they are safe to eat (Jari and Fraser, 2014). In other words, Herman *et al* (2012) argued that consumers make purchasing decisions depending on packaging, consistency as well as uniformity of goods. They further contended that produce from smallholder farmers do not meet certain market grades and standards because the farmers lack the knowledge and resources to ascertain such requirements.

Most smallholder crops have no clearly defined grades and standards and, therefore, cannot meet the consumers' demands (Jari and Fraser, 2014). In addition, institutions for determining market standards and grades tend to be poorly developed in smallholder farmer's environments (Herman *et al.*, 2012). Due to uncertainty on the reliability and

quality of their goods, they usually cannot get contracts to supply formal intermediaries such as shops and processors (Benfica *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, this indicates that only well organised farmers can benefit from trade liberalisation by adopting strict quality control measures and obtaining the necessary certification for their goods (Baloyi, 2010).

2.2.5 Organisation in markets

Smallholder farmers tend not to be organised in the markets as they usually sell their limited agricultural produce surpluses individually and directly to the consumers without linking to other market actors (Key and Runsten, 1999). As smallholder farmers lack collective action in markets and individual marketing of small quantities of produce weakens the smallholder farmers' bargaining positions and often exposes them to price exploitation by traders (Bijman *et al.*, 2007)). They also do not benefit from economies of scale (Jari and Fraser, 2014).

In a globalised world, according to Salami *et al.*, (2010), there is increasing vertical integration and alliance formation in the agricultural marketing channels and markets, in an effort to meet consumer needs. Such alliances include contract farming, cooperatives and farmer organisations. Agribusiness firms favour contracts with medium to large-scale farmers, such that individual smallholder farmers cannot be part of these contracting arrangements (Key and Runsten, 1999; Kherallah and Kirsten, 2002). Lack of facilitation in the formation of producers associations or other partnership arrangements makes it more difficult for smallholder producers to participate in formal markets (Bijman *et al.*, 2007). The greater the degree of organisation in the market, the smaller the transaction costs are likely to be and the easier it is to benefit from the exchange opportunity (Frank and Henderson, 1992). Unfortunately, lack of collective action among smallholder farmers denies them entry into formal market channels (Herman *et al.*, 2012). Hence, membership

of a farmer group or cooperative may enable access to more market opportunities with economies of scale and more support.

2.2.6 Legal environment

Legal institutions influence the activities performed on the market and the costs of exchange. Minot and Goletti (1997) affirmed that the formal institutional development of a society has a considerable influence on transaction costs. Effective legal institutions may improve the organisation of the marketing channels and decrease marketing costs (Herman *et al.*, 2012). In many developing countries, laws are not always executed and enforced correctly, bribery and cheating are often not penalised, courts are out of reach for the majority of the population, and market rules are often not transparent to the producers and traders (Ruijs, 2002). It is even worse for the smallholder farmers because they lack lobbies in the legal environment. As a result, rural trade prospers where trust has been developed based on repeated transactions or informal relationships (Minot and Goletti, 1997). Thus, an unfavourable legal environment creates a significant barrier to entry into formal food trade and limits participation by smallholders in the marketing system (Bijman *et al.*, 2007).

2.2.7 Storage facilities

The ability to deliver a quality product to the market and ultimately to the consumer commands buyer attention and gives the grower a competitive edge (Bachmann and Earles, 2000). Proper post harvest handling and storage contribute in ensuring quality maintenance for perishable agricultural produce (Baloyi, 2010). Moreover, agricultural commodities have to be harvested at a specific point in time, but are consumed year-round, thus necessitating proper storage facilities (Bachmann and Earles, 2000).

Therefore, if crops are to be available for consumption throughout the year, proper storage facilities have to be implemented by both farmers and traders.

Households with proper storage facilities do not need to market their produce immediately after harvest when prices tend to be low (Herman *et al.*, 2012). They can store their produce and sell when prices are higher. However, most smallholder farmers do not own adequate storage infrastructure and end up selling their produce soon after harvest, also because they need the money involved. Smallholder farmers often rely on open-air storage (Gabre-Madhin, 2001). Due to lack of storage facilities, most smallholder producers sell their produce almost immediately after harvest in order to ease congestion, leading them to sell their produce at lower prices (Wilson *et al.*, 1995).

2.2.8 Market transport

It is difficult to transport produce to the market in time (produce spoilage and losses) if there is no reliable private form of transport, since public vehicles tend to be limited in the rural areas (Bachmann and Earles, 2000). In addition, unavailability of reliable transport will increase transport costs, which in turn increases transaction costs amongst smallholder farmers (Zaibet and Dunn, 1998).

In developing countries, most smallholder farmers usually pack their goods in sacks, which are then transported to the market places using public transport (Jayne *et al.*, 2002). This leads to bruises and damage and, thus, drastically reduces the quality of the agricultural produce being transported. Some farmers use their own vehicles to get to the market centres. Makhura (2001) pointed out that these farmers with these assets are able to move around in search of more rewarding markets. Those farmers stand a better chance of getting market information from different markets.

2.2.9 Value adding

According to Robbins (2005), prices of primary agricultural produce have fallen steeply, but retail prices for the same packaged, cut and processed products in industrial countries, have increased. This means that value adding activities can earn farmers additional income. Value adding can be in the form of grading, sorting, cutting, packaging in standard weights and processing of produce (Mather, 2005). Lack of value adding and agro-processing is part of missing markets amongst smallholder farmers in marketing (Herman *et al.*, 2012). Agricultural produce from smallholder farmers usually are poorly packaged (Mather, 2005). With few exceptions, most smallholder farmers cannot add value to their produce because they do not know its importance and lack processing technology (Louw *et al.*, 2007). As a result, inability to add value to agricultural produce by smallholder farmers excludes them from interesting markets (Herman *et al.*, 2012).

2.3 Market Potential of Spice Products

FAO (2011) reported the production of spices by smallholder farmers being big business in many countries. The methods of finance, production, processing, quality control and marketing have been widely studied. Melanie and Michael (2011) argued that local demand in developing countries is largely for spices rather than herbs – taste which varies regionally but pepper, curry spices and paprika are mainstay crops. They suggested that local markets for fresh dried and value added spice and herb products should be developed in competition with imports. Local processing can widen the variety of spice and herb crops that may find markets (Melanie and Michael, 2011). In countries which are not traditional exporters, new production of such spices, where conditions are suitable, can often compete successfully with imports (FAO, 2011).

Melanie and Michael (2011) mentioned culinary herbs to be less often traditionally produced by small-scale farmers than spices. They further argued that herb production is often mechanized, and good quality is easier to achieve using forced air drying and machine cleaning by small-scale sun drying and hand sorting methods, as used satisfactorily for many spices. Many culinary herbs originate in temperate/ Mediterranean climates, and perhaps for this reason local markets trade fewer herbs than spices in many developing countries (Melanie and Michael, 2011).

2.4 Theoretical Framework for Market Access

2.4.1 Power-Dependency Theory

Power and dependency are generally considered as important concepts in understanding buyer-seller relationship (Caniels and Gelderman, 2007). A high level of interdependence is an indicator for a strong, cooperative and long-term relationship characterized by mutual trust and mutual commitment (Berthon *et al*, 2003).

A close and lasting cooperation between supplier and buyer leads to improvements in quality, delivery reliability and cost reduction (Caniels and Gelderman, 2007). Power is the major means available to achieve coordination and cooperation among channel members (Berthon *et al*, 2003). This could be in the form of high level of commitment, cooperation and trust.

This theory is helpful in this study as it asserts that the level of power and dependence is a critical determinant of business partnership existence between the spice farmers and traders. It is, therefore, necessary to assess Power- Dependency relationships in order to find out how power distribution influences spice market access in Zanzibar.

2.4.2 Networking Theory and Spice Market Access



O'Donnell (2004) described networking in a small firm context as an activity in which the entrepreneurially oriented producers build and manage personal relationships with particular individuals in their surroundings. According to De Klerk and Kroon (2007), strategic cooperation and networks are the means that allow producers to compete and innovate in dynamic business environments. Therefore, the success of a firm depends on its collaboration with other organizations that influence the creation and delivery of its products or services (Moeller, 2010).

Networks play an important role in business due its value creation, in terms of gathering information and provision of the infrastructure to communicate, purchase, sell products and services and collaborate with others (De Klerk and Kroon, 2007). Moreover, networks offer interactive, personal relationships with individual consumers, and offer an opportunity to understand individual preferences and needs (Mort and Weerawardena, 2006). Therefore, networks and relationships are important for smallholder farmers because they enable these farmers to link activities and tie available resources together, to identify new market opportunities and contribute to building market knowledge and the absence of networks hinders the producers' efforts to expand into multiple markets (Mort and Weerawardena, 2006).


This theory is of importance in this study as it underpins the presence of intermediaries in the networking channels from farmers' or middlemen's consolidation centres that link spice farmers and spice traders in activities such as transporting the products between these two nodes, insurances, consolidating and packaging.

2.5 Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework presented in Fig 1 illustrates the relationships of independent variables (market access factors), intermediary variables (farmers capacity indicators) and necessary factors that influence smallholder spice farmers in market participation. Farmers capacity transaction costs, number of spice crops produced, quantity of crops supplied and value addition should be linked by market information flow to market factors such as distant market for selling spices market organisation, quantity of product demanded, quantity of product sold and product selling price. Market information includes information about prices of spice products and their demand at market places. The link established by market information flow can influence spice farmers to participate in market and hence have direct sales to retailers and/or consumers. If spice farmers are able to participate in market by direct selling the products to retailers or consumers, then the outcomes is less exploitation from traders and therefore capture the spice market potential.



Links Independent and Intermediary Variables



Links Independent and Dependent Variables

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

CHAPTER THREE

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted in West District in Zanzibar. The West District is one of the two districts which form the Urban and West Region in Zanzibar. It lies on the Western part of Unguja Island, engulfing the Urban District on three sides: North, East and South. It is bordered on the North by the North “B” District, on the East by the Central District and on the West by the Urban District and the Indian Ocean (ZSGRP, 2007). The district has a total of 208 square kilometres. It is made up of 9 electoral constituencies, 15 wards and 39 shehias.

The climate of Zanzibar is tropical and maritime, and follows the monsoon winds. The main rain season (*masika*) occurs between March and June. The short rains (*vuli*) usually start in October and ends in December. Also the Island is cold warm weather which is good for spice crops plantation and grow well. In Western Coast spices grow well because this area has deep soil. Therefore in this area we get cold weather because of the trade winds and low waves from the sea but Southern Coast of Zanzibar Island is not good for planting spice because this area has coral rag (Zanzibar Meteorology Authority, n’d).

The study area was chosen because of large number of spice farms available and market of spice in Zanzibar.

3.2 Research Design

A research design is the arrangement of conditions for collection and analysis of data in a manner that aims to combine relevance to the research purpose with economy in procedure

(Kothari, 2004). This study adopted a cross-sectional research design. The design was used on the basis that it allows collection of data from different groups of respondents at one point in time. The study is also exploratory and descriptive in nature. According to Kothari (2004), the major emphasis in exploratory studies is on the discovery of ideas and insights. Therefore, this study is exploratory as it aimed to explain spice farming potential among smallholder spice farmers in Zanzibar. The study is also analytical as the findings from the questionnaires and interviews will be analysed in order to form a profile of factors affecting market participation among spice farmers in Zanzibar.

3.3 Sampling Procedure

The study was interested in identifying factors affecting market participation among spice farmers in Zanzibar. The main criteria for participating as spice farmers in this study were being smallholders dealing with the spice production less than 5 hectares. To answer the stated research question(s) and meet the research objectives, purposive sampling techniques was applied to select villages in West District. This included five villages which were Kizimbani, Kijichi, Dole, Kianga and Mbuzini where spice production is operational. Purposive sampling allowed the researcher to undertake an in-depth study that focused on small sample selected for a particular purpose and get the information needed to achieve the research purpose.

The study included a total of 110 respondents; 85 spice farmers, 20 traders and 5 key informants to identify production, market, and consumption and research factors. 110 respondents were due to availability and heterogeneity of the respondents to be involved in the study. Systematic random sampling was the most practical for spice farmers. The sampling process started by obtaining a list of all spice farmers in their respective village. The selection of farmers was done by picking every 3rd farmer in the list of farmers written

from Sheha's list. The size of the sample of this study was determined by the formula explained in Kothari (2004) when estimating a sample size by a percentage or proportion as:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \cdot p \cdot q}{e^2}$$

From Kothari (2004), the above formula gives the size of the sample in case of infinite population, but in case of finite population the above stated formula is changed as under:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \cdot p \cdot q \cdot N}{e^2(N-1) + Z^2 \cdot p \cdot q}$$

Where

p = Sample proportion, $q = 1 - p$;

e = Acceptable error (the precision)

Z = Standard variate at a given confidence level

n = Size of sample

N = Size of population

In the case of this study

$p = 0.1$ for spice farmers, $e = 0.06$ for the smallholder farmers, $Z = 1.96$ (confidence interval of 95%) and $N = 750$ for smallholder farmers. Hence n which is a sample size was:

$$n = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.1 \times (1 - 0.1) \times 750}{0.06^2(750 - 1) + 1.96^2 \times 0.1 \times (1 - 0.1)}$$

$$n = \frac{259.3}{3.04} = 85.3$$

Therefore, sample size for spice farmers interviewed was 85.

Random sampling for 20 traders was conducted at Mwanakwerekwe and Darajani market places because of heterogeneity and availability of respondents and 5 key informants were

picked from Chairperson from Mwanakwerekwe and Darajani market places, ZAFIDE and Zanzibar Agricultural Research Institute.

The table 1 below gives the framework of the size of sample for this study.

Table 1: Sample Size Framework (n = 110)

Respondents	Number
Farmers	85
Traders	20
Key informants	5
Total	110

3.4 Methods and Tools of Data Collection

The study used both primary and secondary data. The primary data are those which are collected afresh and for the first time, and thus happen to be original in character and the secondary data, on the other hand, are those which have already been collected by someone else and which have already been passed through the statistical process (Kothari, 2004).

3.4.1 Primary data

The primary data in this study were collected through questionnaire survey. Structured questionnaires were administered to spice farmers, in the respective villages and traders at market place. A check list was used for key informants from Mwanakwerekwe and Darajani market offices and Zanzibar Association for Farmers and Fishers Development (ZAFFIDE) to tap their great depth of knowledge on the spice market access issues. To enhance the validity and reliability of these instruments, a pilot study was conducted prior to the main primary data collection process. The pilot survey was conducted to 10 spice farmers at Mwakaje village and necessary corrections were done in these instruments.

3.4.2 Secondary data

The secondary data were those data relating to spice farming which had already been collected by someone else and passed through the statistical process. The secondary data included reports from Darajani Market, Mwanakwerekwe Market and NGOs working with agriculture in Zanzibar.

3.5 Data Analysis

Data analysis means the computation of certain indices or measures along with searching for patterns of relationship that exist among the data groups (Kothari, 2004). Qualitative data collected for this study were analyzed using content analysis for all research questions to set categories developed from identified commonalities. Quantitative data were processed and analyzed in order to get the frequency and percentage research questions 1, 2 and 3. These describe the nature of the data collected. Besides, inferential statistics specifically Binary logistic regression analysis for hypothesis 1 was used to examine relationship among market factors and farmer's access to spice market.

The determinant of market access is a qualitative decision that is based on probabilities of either accessing market or not (in this case for spice crops market). One qualitative choice model of interest in this type of decision was the logistic regression model. Logistic regression model is a powerful, convenient and flexible tool used in predicting a categorical (usually dichotomous) variable from a set of predictor variables. By using the logistic regression the probability of a result being in one of two response groups (binary response) was modelled as a function of the level of one or more explanatory variables. Thus, the probability whether or not the farmer sells spice crop direct to retailers or consumers was modelled as a function of the level of one or more independent variables. The logistic regression model that was used is illustrated below;

Let Y be the target variable (Market access) and if the probability that $Y = 1$ is denoted as p then the probability that Y is 0 would be $1-p$. Therefore, the logistic model for predicting p would be given by following equation:

$$\ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \alpha + \beta_1\text{MI} + \beta_2\text{TC} + \beta_3\text{DM} + \beta_4\text{NS} + \beta_5\text{SPf} + \beta_6\text{MO} + \beta_7\text{PG} + \beta_8\text{VA} + \beta_9\text{QD} + \beta_{10}\text{QSm} + \dots + \beta_n\text{X}_n$$

Where;

$$\ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \text{The log of the odds ratio known as logit which is the dependent variable}$$

MI = Market information access

TC= Transaction cost

DM= Distant market

NS = Number of spice crops

SPf = Selling price from farmers

MO = Market organisation

PG= Product grading

VA = Value addition

QD = Quantity demanded

QSm = Quantity sold at market and

α = Constant term

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_7, \beta_8, \beta_9$ and β_{10} are the coefficients for variables MI, TC, DM, NS, SPf, MO, PG, VA, QD and QSm, respectively.

3.6 Ethical Consideration

When people are to be asked about their personal beliefs and actions, ethical considerations play a major role. This is because people have a right to privacy and should decide when and to whom to reveal personal information (Neuman, 2006).

During the study the purpose of intruding into people's lives was explained by the researcher and permission was granted to continue with the interviews in line with the following ethical considerations:

3.6.1 Informed consent and voluntary participation

Respondents were made aware by the researcher that in the process of giving information they were at liberty to stop if they felt uncomfortable. Since the study depended on their responses, it was ensured that the questions asked were well planned and that they were treated with respect.

3.6.2 Confidentiality

The researcher assured the respondents of confidentiality and anonymity. The respondents' names were option whether to give out or not. However, all respondents gave out their names.

3.6.3 Physical harm

A straightforward ethical principle makes it clear that in no uncertain terms should the researcher cause physical harm to the respondents. As a researcher, I guard against choosing risky areas as interviewing sites. I tried to keep up to basic safety concerns by ensuring that the information given by respondents do not jeopardise any friendships and working as well as farming relations that were there before the study was conducted.

3.7 Reliability and Validity

The researcher attempted to ensure validity and reliability of results. As outlined by Neuman (2006), reliability means dependability or consistency. It therefore refers to whether similar results were achieved even if more tests are conducted from the same thing. Validity, on the other hand, refers to truth and accuracy. As a researcher, I constantly tried to verify the responses given by respondents by triangulating between various data collection techniques. Responses were checked in correspondence with the questions asked. This was done to ensure that the study could be replicable by others.

CHAPTER FOUR

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chapter four presents results and discussion of the study which aimed at analysing factors affecting market access among spice farmers in Zanzibar. The chapter sections present distribution of characteristics of interest through demographic characteristics, production and economic characteristics, spice trader characteristics and structure of marketing channels for spices produced in Zanzibar. Furthermore, spice market potential in Zanzibar was assessed and the market access among spice farmers for their products was also examined.

4.1 Demographic Characteristics

This section gives some insight into demographic characteristics of the respondents. Demographic characteristics of surveyed farmers were important in determining the extent to which they influence farmers' responses in the study. The study examined and discussed the distribution of farmers by Shehia, sex, age, marital status and level of education.

4.1.1 Distribution of farmers by shehia

The distribution of respondents by shehia was done to identify the proportion of farmers engaged in spice production in all the five shehias. In examining the distribution of farmers by shehia, the findings in Table 2 indicated that the highest number of respondents came from shehia of Kizimbani (32.9%), Dole (23.5%) and Kijichi (20%). The minimum proportion of 15.3% and 8.2% of respondents came from Mbuzini and Kianga, respectively. The findings show that Kizimbani, Dole and Kijichi had high number of respondents due to potential spice tourism activities taking place in these areas. Kizimbani

is also a historic place for agricultural researches which signifies its prominence for spice tourism in Zanzibar.

4.1.2 Distribution of farmers by sex

The distribution of respondents by sex was done to ensure representation of both women and men's views in this study. Table 2 shows that 80% of the respondents were male; this is not surprising given cultural norms regarding gender roles in Zanzibar. The norms restrict female members in the presence of the head of male headed households to have casual discussions with unknown visitors. The study findings indicated that women had limited access and participation on spice crop production. These findings suggest that these shehias are typical rural and reflect a patriarchal role of men as the heads of household; male dominate all economic activities. These shehias are unlike other West District shehias where diversity of population allows for a greater variation in cultural attitudes, and hence more economic activities and opportunities for women are available.

Table 2: Distribution of Farmers by Demographic Characteristics (n = 85)

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
Shehia of respondent		
Kizimbani	28	32.9
Dole	20	23.5
Kijichi	17	20.0
Kianga	7	8.2
Mbuzini	13	15.3
Sex of respondent		
Male	68	80.0
Female	17	20.0
Age of respondent		
Below 30 years	7	8.2
30 - 39	32	37.6
40 -50	39	45.9
Above 50 years	7	8.2
Marital status of respondent		
Single	8	9.4
Married	75	88.2
Widow / widower	2	2.4
Education of respondent		
Primary education	13	15.3
Secondary education	65	76.5
Tertiary education	7	8.2

4.1.3 Distribution of farmers by age

The distribution of respondents by age was done to identify potential age category for engaging in spice production in all selected shehias. With respect to age categories of the respondents, Table 2 indicates that 83.5% were spice farmers aged between 30 and 50 years. The findings revealed that the majority of spice farmers were in the age between 30 and 50 years. Below 30 years and above 50 years categories in combination accounted for 16.4% of spice farmers. Possible reasons why young generation accounted for 8.2% in spice production could not only be true that at young age, young people are still engaged in studies at various levels of education, it could but also be their perception that agriculture is a career for the old, and it does not give profit in very short time. It could also be possible that young generation is not attracted to engage in agriculture for many other reasons such as use of crude implements. Given a pyramid demographic structure and the life expectancy at birth in Zanzibar which ranges from 53 to 60 years (RGZ, 2009); one would expect fewer aged people in farming as well.

4.1.4 Distribution of farmers by marital status

Sample farmers were assessed on their marital status to capture representation of opinions with regards to their decisions on spice production. The finding in Table 2 shows that 88.2% of farmers interviewed were married, while 11.8% were single, widow and separated. The findings suggest that, with complementary role between couples with regard to their livelihood, a household comprising husband and wife may help each other rather than a single headed household focusing on farming activities. Thus, the extent to which a single headed household can carry out farming activities would be expected to differ from married. This is the case in spice farmers in study area whereby they complement labour and capital for spice production.

4.1.5 Distribution of farmers by level of education

The level of education was assessed to determine the proportion of farmers with their corresponding education level. The results in Table 2 show that 76.5% of the interviewed farmers attained secondary education while 15.3% and 8.2% had primary and tertiary education. The findings imply that all farmers had attained a certain level of formal education. Education is an important tool to escape poverty, but only if the education system reaches the right people with the right content.

Randela *et al.* (2008) argued that intellectual capital as captured by education is hypothesised to play a positive role in influencing market participation. They added that level of education gives an indication of the household ability to process information and causes some farmers to have better access to understanding and interpretation of information than others. However, the expectation may be reversed when there are competing and more remunerative employment opportunities available in the area that require skills that are enhanced by more education (Lapar *et al.*, 2003).

4.2 Production and Economic Characteristics of Spice Farmers

Surveyed farmers were assessed on different aspects regarding their production and economic characteristics to identify their production and economic activities. This section discusses different production and economic characteristics of sample farmers which include farm occupation, association membership, land category and annual farm income. These factors were considered important in determining production and economic levels of spice farmers.

4.2.1 Distribution of farmers by farm occupation

Farm occupation in this study refers to farmers' engagement in production of spices, spice products selling and spice tourism. Farm occupation was examined to identify proportion of farmers producing spices, farmers selling spice products and farmers engaging in spice tourism. The findings in Table 3 revealed that 41.2% of the farmers interviewed were growing spices, 25.9% were selling spice products and 32.9% were dealing with spice tourism. The findings imply that diversity of spice farmers' participation in marketing spice products can successfully be assessed as their farming occupation involved spice production that subsequently sold to the consumers.

4.2.2 Distribution of farmers by association membership

The central thesis of the social capital literature is that features of social organisation, such as networks of farmers' interaction have resource potential to individuals and groups. Social group has been linked to a variety of outcomes, such as success in job seeking behaviour, entrepreneurship and successful community action or development. It is through networks that information and other resources can be transmitted, and the existence of trust facilitates co-operative behaviour based around these networks (Sharp and Smith, 2003).

Distribution of farmers by membership of social and community groups was done to gain insights of these associations in supporting farmers' production and economic activities. The analysis identified farmers and types of associations they belong to. Table 3 indicates that 64.7% of farmers were members of farmers' associations, 24.7% belonged to community development groups, 8.2% belonged to savings and credits groups and 2.4% cooperatives. The findings imply that farmers see associations as mechanism for them to enhance their production by providing training and extension services, and facilitating

acquisition of technology and other farming inputs. They also use association as a channel which might influence practices of individual members to achieve the quality requirements of targeted market and strengthen their ability to negotiate product prices.

4.2.3 Distribution of farmers by land size

The study analysed the size of land owned by farmers to ascertain its contribution to spice production. The findings in Table 3 show that 82.3% of farmers owned land between 2.0 and 3.0 acres, 16.5% of the farmers owned less than 2 acres and only 1.2% of these farmers had farm sizes of greater than 3 acres. Owning land for agricultural purpose implies existence of opportunities for farmers to produce varieties of crops in demand. Therefore, increase in land size might influence these farmers to integrate spice production and increase production. Ownership to arable land is a necessary condition for market participation. This variable is measured by the size of the arable land the household operates. Randela *et al.*, (2008) contended that the larger the size of arable land a household uses, the higher the production levels are likely to be, and the higher the probability of market access. Table 3 presents the summary statistics of production and economic characteristics of the surveyed farmers.

Table 3: Distribution of Farmers by Production and Economic Characteristics

Variables	Attributes	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
Occupation	Spice production	35	41.2
	Spice product selling	22	25.9
	Spice tourism	28	32.9
Association	Farmers association	55	64.7
	Community Development	21	24.7
	Credit and savings	7	8.2
	Cooperative	2	2.4
Land ownership	Below 2 acres	14	16.5
	2-3 acres	70	82.3
	Above 3 acres	1	1.2
Income category (TZS)	Below 500 000	2	2.4
	500 000 – 2 000 000	17	20.0
	2 000 000 – 6 000 000	55	64.7
	Above 6 000 000	11	12.9

4.2.4 Distribution of farmers by annual income

Farmers' annual income from horticultural crops was estimated. Table 3 indicates that 84.7% of farmers had an estimated annual income between TZS 500 000 and 6 000 000 from spice crops. Only 2.4% of the farmers had estimated annual income below TZS 500 000 which implies that they earned below 1 US dollar (TZS 1550) per day from spice crops due to low production especially from small land size. About 13% of respondents showed income per annum as being in excess of TZS 6 000 000 implying more than 10 US dollar (TZS 15 500) per day from spice crops. The findings imply that when properly

managed, spice crop is an opportunity that has the potential to increase income generated by farmers and hence improve well being of farmers' livelihoods.

4.3 Spice Traders' Characteristics

Characteristics of the spice products traders were examined for the purpose of identifying their distributions in terms of sex, age, education, type of trader and years of spice business. These characteristics were important in assessing factors for market participation among spice farmers.

4.3.1 Distribution of Spice Traders by Sex

Assessing spice traders by sex was important to ensure representation of both women and men's views in spice business for this study. The study findings shows that all the spice traders interviewed were male. The findings indicate that women have limited access and participation on spice crop business. The results imply that there is limited consideration of strategic gender needs such as business trainings and entrepreneurial skills for women in Zanzibar to engage in spice business. Women groups should be established and trained on spice business aspects.

4.3.2 Distribution of spice traders by age

The distribution of spice traders by age was done to identify potential age category engaging in spice business. With respect to age categories of the spice traders, Table 4 indicates that more than a half (55%) of the traders was below 30 years. 35% of the traders were in the 30-40 age category and 10% were more than 40 years old. Possible reasons why young generation accounts 55% in engaging to spice business could not only be true that at young age, young people are seeking for business innovation that could bring high

profit in a short time, it could but also be their mindset that business is a career for the young.

4.3.3 Distribution of spice traders by level of education

The level of education was assessed to determine the proportion of spice traders with their corresponding education level. The results in Table 4 show that 80% of the interviewed traders attained secondary education while 20% had primary education. The findings imply that all traders had attained a certain level of education which helps them run spice business and successfully participate in spice marketing.

4.3.4 Distribution of spice traders by category of spice trading

Spice traders were assessed to identify categories of trading the spice in the market. Table 4 shows that 70% of spice traders were retailers who sell the spice products directly to the consumers. 25% of the spice traders were processors who engage in post harvest activities and value addition to spice products including drying, grinding, packing and preserving the spice products before selling to consumers. 5% of the traders were wholesalers who collect the spice products and sell in bulk to either processors or retailers or both. The results imply that the distribution of these traders could open doors widely for spice producers to supply spice and hence, improve their participation in marketing.

4.3.5 Distribution of spice traders by years of spice business

Distribution of farmers by number of years in spice business was done to gain insights of experiences these traders had in spice marketing business. The analysis in Table 4 identified 50% of the spice traders engaged in the business less than five years. 5% of the traders had experience of spice trading between five to ten years and other 5% had

experience of more than ten year in spice products marketing. The findings imply that spice business is an emerging business.

Table 4: Distribution of Traders Characteristics (n=20)

Variables	Attributes	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
Sex of traders	Male	20	100.0
	Female	0	0
Age of traders	Below 30 years	11	55
	30 – 40 years	7	35
	Above 40 years	2	10
Education of traders	Primary education	4	20
	Secondary education	16	80
Category of trading	Wholesaler	1	5
	Retailer	14	70
	Processor	5	25
Years of business	Below 5 years	10	50
	5 -10	5	25
	10 – 20	5	25

4.4 Marketing Channels for Spices Produced in Zanzibar

Marketing channels in this study gives the framework to explain channels by which spice farmers sell their products after harvesting and how spice traders source spices for their business. The analytical structure of marketing channels provides an important framework for mapping and explaining potentials in market access.

4.4.1 Spice farmers and sales points

Evaluation of market access by farmers was carried out to examine the potential of market channel where farmers sell their spice products. The sales points were examined in terms of spice crops produced and sold by farmers to the sales points. The crops were cardamom, cinnamon, cloves, turmeric, black pepper, ginger and vanilla. Two sales points, namely market and middlemen were identified for all crops except cloves which have additional sales point of Zanzibar State Trading Corporation (ZSTC). Selling at market point denotes selling the spice products to retailers at market places while selling to middlemen refers to selling the spice products to wholesalers or traders at farm places.

In Table 5 the study findings show that 62.3% of the surveyed farmers produced cardamom and sold it. The results also show that 37.6% and 24.6% sold the cardamom to market point and middlemen, respectively. In the case of cinnamon sales, Table 5 shows that 93% of the farmers surveyed produced and sold cinnamon. Findings reveal that 67.1% sold cinnamon to market point and 25.9% sold cinnamon to middlemen. Table 5 also shows that 43.5% of spice farmers produced and sold black pepper. The study indicates that 32.9% and 10.6% sold the black pepper to market point and middlemen, respectively. The sales of ginger were also observed from 95.3% of spice farmers surveyed. Table 5 shows that 72.9% sold ginger to market point and 22.4% sold ginger to middlemen. In the case of turmeric sales, 22.4% of spice farmers interviewed produced and sold turmeric. Findings in Table 5 reveal that 13.0% sold turmeric to market point and 9.4% sold turmeric to middlemen.

With respect to vanilla crop sales, the study reveals in Table 5 that 13% of the spice farmers reached produced the crop. Results indicate that 5.9% and 7.1% sold vanilla to market point and middlemen, respectively. When cloves were considered in the marketing

channels as spice crop produced and sold by spice farmers, Table 5 reveals that 36.5% of surveyed farmers produced cloves and sold them. The study shows that 2.4 % of spice farmers sold cloves to market point and 34.1% sold the cloves to ZSTC. Results indicate that cloves have no middlemen but ZSTC stands as intermediary agent for marketing cloves.

When farmers traded spice products to the market points it may imply that farmers had very slight option to market access for consumers. The results reveal that marketing channel for spice products in Zanzibar is indirect as it involves intermediaries between the producers and final consumers and perform numerous channel functions. These functions include processing and packaging before selling to ultimate consumers. This form of market channel is not effective to farmers' access to spice market. The strength of this channel depends on quality, quantity and prices of the spice products demanded, available and supplied from farmers to retailers. Farmers can have strong relationship to retailers if their products are of good quality and highly available and vice versa.

Table 5: Distribution of Farmers by Sales Point (n=85)

Attributes	Frequency (n)	Percentages (%)
Cardamom		
Market	32	37.6
Middlemen	21	24.7
Not producing	32	37.6
Cinnamon		
Market	57	67.1
Middlemen	22	25.9
Not producing	6	7.0
Black pepper		
Market	28	32.9
Middlemen	9	10.6
Not producing	48	56.5
Ginger		
Market	62	72.9
Middlemen	19	22.4
Not producing	4	4.7
Turmeric		
Market	11	13.0
Middlemen	8	9.4
Not producing	66	77.6
Vanilla		
Market	5	5.9
Middlemen	6	7.1
Not producing	74	87.0
Cloves		
Market	2	2.4
ZSTC	29	34.1
Not producing	54	63.5

4.4.2 Distribution of spice traders by sourcing spice from producers

An evaluation regarding spice traders sourcing spice products from producers was also carried out on traders surveyed at market places to identify where they source spices for business. The traders' sourcing points were sought by asking the spice traders whether they source spice products from farmers or not. The sourcing points for spice products were identified in terms of the crops bought by the traders for business.

About the case of sourcing cardamom, Table 6 shows that two-fifths (40%) of the traders sourced cardamom from the producers. The other (60%) did not source from the producers. The study also reveals in Table 6 that 40% of the traders sourced cinnamon from farmers while 60% of traders did not source cinnamon from the producers. For the black pepper business, results in Table 6 indicate that 30% of the spice traders sourced black pepper from farmers and 70% of the traders did not source black pepper from these producers. Table 6 also shows that 20% of surveyed traders sourced ginger from farmers while 80% of the traders did not source ginger from farmers. Results in Table 6 reveal that 15% of traders interviewed sourced turmeric from farmers while 85% of the same traders did not source turmeric from farmers. The study reveals in Table 6 that less than a half of the traders interviewed sourced the spice products from Zanzibar. The results imply that traders sourced more spice products from either middlemen in Zanzibar or imported from outside of Zanzibar including mainland Tanzania.

Table 6: Spice Traders by Spice Sourcing Points (n=20)

Attributes	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Cardamom from farmer		
Yes	8	40.0
No	12	60.0
Cinnamon from farmer		
Yes	8	40.0
No	12	60.0
Black pepper from farmer		
Yes	6	30.0
No	14	70.0
Ginger from farmer		
Yes	4	20.0
No	16	80.0
Turmeric from farmer		
Yes	3	15.0
No	17	85.0

4.5 Spice Market Potential (Demand and Supply) in Zanzibar

It is crucial in this study to determine spice marketing potential for spices produced in Zanzibar. The analysis of spice market potentials provides important road map for spice market access. In this section, the study analysed types of spice crops produced by farmers, quantity of spice crops sold by producers, mean prices of spice crops sold, types of spice crops from both producers and suppliers bought by traders, mean buying prices from both farmers and suppliers, quantity of spice products sold by traders and mean selling prices for traders. The insights from this section highlight the level of demand and supply for spice products in Zanzibar.

4.5.1 Types and quantity of crops produced by farmers

The study considered it crucial to identify types of spice crops produced by spice farmers in the study area. The findings revealed seven (7) types of spice crops produced and marketed. Table 7 indicates that in terms of land size used for selected spice crops, from surveyed farmers four crops occupied large land size in hectares. These crops are cinnamon (6 ha), ginger (5.4 ha), clove (4.5 ha) and cardamom (4 ha). Black pepper, turmeric and vanilla occupied 3.4 ha, 1.2 ha and 1.0 ha, respectively.

Table 7 also reveals average acreage of each spice crop in a household basis. The acreage of the spice crop per household ranges from 0.017 ha to 0.145 ha per crop per household. This implies that none of the spice farmers surveyed produced a single spice crop in more than 1 ha.

With regard to quantity of spice crop harvested, Table 7 reveals that ginger, cloves cinnamon, turmeric and cardamom had high yields of 1547 kg/ha, 1356 kg/ha, 1145 kg/ha, 1117 kg/ha and 1055 kg/ha, respectively. Other crops are black pepper (820 kg/ha) and vanilla (620 kg/ha). The above yields observed per hectare in all spice crops were below the recommended yield per hectare (kg/ha). The reason for low yield could not only be low input supply but also limited emphasis on production of spice crops for commercial reason. The only commercial spice crop in Zanzibar is clove, the remaining spice crops are mostly for spice tourism which does not necessitate large scale production.

In Table 7 the findings indicates that all quantity of spice crops harvested was sold except ginger and cloves which have less quantities sold compared to harvests. The results imply that farmers sell all the spice products harvested in the season. However, for ginger and cloves farmers put aside some amount for home use especially medical purpose.

Table 7: Types and Quantity of Spice Crops Produced by Farmers

Spice crop	Acreage (ha)	Acreage/ Household (ha)	Quantity harvested (kg)	Productivity (kg/ha)	Recommended productivity (kg/ha)	Quantity sold (kg)
Cardamom	4	0.075	4220	1055	1300	4220
Cinnamon	6	0.077	6870	1145	1400	6870
Clove	4.5	0.145	6140	1365	2700	6040
Turmeric	1.2	0.075	1340	1117	7500	1340
Black pepper	3.4	0.089	2790	820	3930	2790
Ginger	5.4	0.071	8356	1547	14000	8310
Vanilla	1.0	0.085	620	620	750	620

4.5.2 Types and quantity of spice products traded by spice traders

Determination of types and quantity of spice products traded at market places played a central role in assessing the level of supply and demand of spice products for spice business in Zanzibar. The analysis for the types of spice products traded by surveyed business men in Table 8 revealed that five (5) spice crops are more potential in spice business. These crops in Table 8 are cardamom, cinnamon, turmeric, black pepper and ginger.

In the supply side from farmers, Table 8 indicates that cardamom has the highest quantity (445 kg) bought by traders from farmers, followed by cinnamon, black pepper, ginger and turmeric. Mean prices were also revealed in Table 8 indicating that the highest price from farmers was that of cardamom (TZS 15 750/kg) and the lowest mean price was that of cinnamon (TZS 3 688/kg).

Traders interviewed also purchased spice products from suppliers. Table 8 shows that the highest quantity bought by traders from suppliers was that of cinnamon (623 kg) and the

lowest quantity bought from suppliers was that of turmeric (210 kg). Mean prices of spice crops from suppliers indicated in Table 8 revealed that the highest price from suppliers was also that of cardamom (TZS 17 300/kg) and the lowest mean price was also that of cinnamon (TZS 4 130/kg). The analysis shows that with exception to cardamom, high quantities of traded spice crops were bought from suppliers rather than spice farmers. The findings imply that these suppliers may either be wholesalers who buy spice crops from farm or importers buying the spice crops outside Zanzibar. The difference in mean prices of spice crops between farmers and suppliers comes from the bulkiness, quality and processing techniques the suppliers perform before selling the spice products.

The study also analysed the quantity of spice products sold by the traders and their mean prices. Table 8 indicates that the highest quantity sold by the traders was cinnamon (978 kg) and the lowest quantity was turmeric (290 kg). Findings revealed that all quantity bought by traders was sold to consumers. The results imply that the demand of spice product to consumers is still high. Mean selling prices for traders to consumers in Table 8 show that cardamom had high price of TZS 20 470/kg compared to other spice products.

The marginal price difference between farmers' price and traders' price was also analysed to ascertain price gap which existed in spice business. Trader's mean price appeared to be high than farmer's. There was high discrepancy of farmers' price and traders' in cardamom (TZS 4720/kg), ginger (TZS 4420/kg) and cinnamon (TZS 3912/kg). Black pepper and turmeric also recorded a gap of TZS 1170/kg and TZS 250/kg, respectively. This implies that traders benefit more than farmers in spice market.

Table 8: Types and Quantity of Spice Products Traded by Spice Traders

Spice crop	Quantity from farmers (kg)	Price from farmers (TZS)	Quantity from suppliers (kg)	Price from suppliers (TZS)	Quantity sold (kg)	Trader's price (TZS)	Price gap (Trader-farmers) (TZS)
Cardamom	445	15750	315	17300	760	20470	4720
Cinnamon	355	3688	623	4130	978	7600	3912
Turmeric	80	4500	210	5810	290	4750	250
Black pepper	188	10830	280	10500	368	12000	1170
Ginger	177	3800	360	5000	537	8220	4420

The discussion with the surveyed farmers and traders revealed that quantity of spice bought and sold have an effect to market access among spice farmers. In order for spice farmers to improve market access they have to supply high quantity of spice to market places. Mahamoud (2013) identified spice demand in Zanzibar to be about 8 tons of spices annually, but less than 4 tons are made available from individual spice farmers in Zanzibar. This implies that spice farmers in Zanzibar have failed to deliver quantity of spices like black pepper, cardamom, ginger, turmeric and cinnamon to meet market demands.

4.6 Factors Influencing Market Access Among Spice Farmers

This section examines factors influencing market access among spice farmers in Zanzibar. Taking cognisance of the fourth specific objective of the study which was about examining factors influencing spice market access, therefore, it is crucial to analyse how market access for spice farmers is influenced by marketing factors in the study area.

Logistic regression model was performed to assess the impact of a numbers of factors on the likelihood that spice farmers would access market for their products. The model contained ten independent variables (distant market, market information access, transaction cost, market organization, number of spice crops, quantity sold, spice selling price, spice demanded, spice grading and value addition). The full model containing all predictors was statistically significant, Chi-square (5, N = 85) = 39.59, $p < 0.001$, indicating that the model was able to distinguish between the spice farmers those who accessed market and those who did not access market for spice product. The model as a whole explained between 37.2% (Cox & Snell R square) and 55.3% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance in market access status of spice produce, and correctly classified 83.5% of cases. Table 9 shows that seven independent variables i.e. distant market, market information access, market organization, number of spice crops sold, quantity of spice sold, spice selling price and quantity of spice demanded made a unique statistically significant contribution to the chances of accessing the spice market.

The analysis reveals that there exists relationship between marketing factors and market access among spice farmers. Therefore, the study rejects the null hypothesis and accepts the alternative hypothesis which states that there is relationship between marketing factors and market access among spice farmers. The explanation of contribution of these factors is explained in subsequent sections.

4.6.1 Market organisation

Market organisation denotes the degree of which product exchange process among producers, traders and consumers is facilitated by formal market structures to enhance the business. Market organisation appeared to be the strongest predictor of market access for spice farmers which positively significant recorded an odds ratio of 25.66 (Table 9). This

indicated that spice farmers were nearly 25.66 times more likely to access the spice market when market is properly organized in comparison when market is not organized, controlling for all other factors in the model. Findings imply that positive relationship between market organisation and market access is based on a priority expectation that there is a marginal cost associated with searching for the potential buyer in organised market.

Existence of the guaranteed market is hypothesised to impact positively on market access. However, Smallholder farmers tend not to be organised in the markets as they usually sell their limited agricultural produce surpluses individually and directly to the consumers without linking to other market actors (Key and Runsten, 1999). In other words, smallholder farmers lack collective action in markets. Herman, *et al.*, (2012), in explaining market organisation, argued that individual marketing of small quantities of produce weakens the smallholder farmers' bargaining positions and often exposes them to price exploitation by traders. Therefore farmers should organise into groups for market access facilitation for their products.

4.6.2 Quantity of spice product sold

Another strong predictor of marketing access for spice farmers was quantity of spice produce sold to market places which recorded positive significance with an odds ratio of 21.38 in Table 9. This indicated that farmers were nearly 21.38 times more likely to access market when they had large quantity of spice produce to sell than those who had low quantity of spice for sale, when other factors are controlled for all other factors in the model. The findings imply that the higher the quantity the farmers supply the more they access market for the spice products. In his study on Inclusion of Small-scale farmers in the Spice Value Chain in Zanzibar, Mahamoud (2013) argued that quantity of spice

supplied was the first problem relating to commercial pressure in spice business. He found that farmers in Zanzibar have failed to deliver quantity of spices like black pepper, cardamom, ginger, turmeric and cinnamon as markets require because they worked individually. He also identified spice requirement in Zanzibar to be about 8 tons of spices annually, but less than 4 tons are made available from individual spice farmers in Zanzibar. Results imply that spice traders also buy spices from contracted farmers in Tanzania Mainland to feel the market gap.

Table 9: Results of Logistic Regression for Market Access among Spice Farmers

Variables	β	S.E.	<i>p</i>-value	Exp(B)
Distant market	-2.330	0.981	0.017*	0.10
Market information access	2.937	1.239	0.018*	18.86
Transaction cost	0.821	1.057	0.437 ^{ns}	2.27
Market organization	3.245	0.940	0.001**	25.66
Number of spice crops	-1.921	0.873	0.028*	0.15
Quantity of spice sold	3.062	1.037	0.003**	21.38
Spice selling price	-5.447	1.892	0.004**	0.01
Quantity of spice demanded	-2.678	1.039	0.010*	0.07
Spice grading and standards	-0.964	1.155	0.404 ^{ns}	0.38
Product value addition	0.567	0.915	0.535 ^{ns}	1.76
Constant	3.835	2.446	0.117	46.314

*and ** significant at $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively

Dependent variable: Market access

ns = statistically non-significant at 0.05 level of significance

4.6.3 Market information access

The variable market information access is positively significant to market access, implying that farmers who have access to market information are likely to access the spice market. Market information access recorded an odds ratio of 18.86 as a predictor of market access

among spice farmers (Table 9). Market information access indicated that spice farmers who accessed market information were 18.86 times more likely to improve their spice market access than spice farmers who had no market information, given that all other factors in the model are constant. Results imply that smallholder farmers have difficulties in accessing market information, hence exposing them to a marketing disadvantage. According to Ruijs (2002), smallholder farmers normally rely on informal networks (traders, friends and relatives) for market information due to weak public information. However, relying on informal networks for market information are at risk of getting biased information due to opportunistic behaviour of the more informed group. For instance, Mangisoni (2006) explained that smallholders usually accept low prices for their crops when the broker informs them that their produce is of poor quality. Smallholder farmers accept these low prices mainly because they are unable to negotiate from a well informed position. Therefore, for smallholder farmers to have reliable access of market information, they should form spice farmers' associations or networks.

4.6.4 Number of spice crops sold

Number of spice crops sold is said to be another factor that influences market access among spice farmers. In Table 9, number of spice crops sold was negatively significant and revealed the odds ratio of 0.15 to be less than 1, indicating that when spice farmers had various kinds of spice crops were 0.15 times less likely to access spice market, controlling other factors in the model. The findings imply that spice market access is more open in crop specialisation rather than diversification. The results reveal that spice farmers specialised in individual crops such as cardamom only, black pepper only, cinnamon only and ginger only have better market access than farmers who diversified and sold various spice crops at a time. The market access for specialised spice farmers might be due to relatively large quantity they supply to the market compared to farmers

who diversified in several spices who is unlikely able to produce large volume of each spice.

4.6.5 Distance to market places

From the fact that most of farms are distant from the place where goods and services are exchanged, it was expected that the variable distance to the market could play an important role in determining whether farmers can access market or not. The coefficient of the variable distance to the market was found to be negatively significant to market access recording an odds ratio of 0.10 as a predictor of market access among spice farmers (Table 9). Findings imply that increase in the distance travelled to the market could reduce the market access by 0.10 times. This is expected since an increase in the distance travelled might contribute to high transaction cost. The farther away a household is from the market, the more difficult and costly it would be to access market. Delgado (1999) identified high transaction costs as the embodiment of market access barriers among resource poor smallholders. These high transaction costs result from individual produce transportation and selling, difficulties in getting trading partners and poor bargaining power (Delgado, 1999).

4.6.6 Quantity of spice crops demanded

Quantity of spice crops demanded was examined to observe the influence of demand to market access among spice farmers. The variable quantity of spice crops demanded is negatively significant to market access, implying that when spice crop demand is high spice farmers have less market access. In Table 9, quantity of spice crops demanded in market revealed the odds ratio of 0.07, indicating that when spice crops were in high demand at market, spice farmers were 0.07 times less likely to access spice market, controlling other factors in the model. The findings imply that high demand for spice crops

might necessitate traders to follow farmers at field. Following crops at field means buying them at farm gate price and farmers are likely to sell all spices to traders and have little to offer to other market channels. In order to make other market channels active, farmers have to explore market demand information before sell their products.

4.6.7 Price of spice crops

The price of spice crops is said to be another factor that influences market access among spice farmers. Price is negatively significant to market access, implying that when price of spice crop increases spice farmers have less market access. Price of spices recorded an odds ratio of 0.01 as a predictor of market access among spice farmers (Table 9). Results indicated that when price increased at market, spice farmers were 0.01 times less likely to access spice market, controlling other factors in the model. Findings imply that when spice price is high in the market, middlemen tend to collect spices from farmers and farmers have little to offer to other market channels. This tendency limit spice farmers to access direct market and benefit from spice sales.

CHAPTER FIVE

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

This study explored the existing factors affecting market access among spice farmers in Zanzibar. Production and economic levels of spice farmers depend on characteristics identified from their production and economic activities. Surveyed farmers were engaged in spice production according to different production and economic characteristics. Farmers' characteristics considered include farm occupation, association membership, land category and annual farm income. Study revealed that social-economic characteristics such as sex, age, education, type of trader and experience in spice business contribute significantly on spice product trading.

The study identified existing market channels for spices produced in Zanzibar by explaining routes through which spice farmers sold their products after harvesting and how spice traders sourced spices for their business. The analytical structure of marketing channels provided an important framework for mapping and explaining potentials in market access for spice farmers such as the market channels and sales points where farmers sold their spice products. Farmers were identified to sell their products not only to market places and middlemen for all spices, but also to ZSTC for clove sales.

Spice market potential which explained demand and supply for spice crops in Zanzibar was analysed to provide road map for spice market access. The study identified types of spice crops produced by farmers, quantity of spice crops sold by producers, mean prices of spice crops sold, types of spice crops bought by traders from producers and suppliers, mean buying prices from both farmers and suppliers, quantity of spice products sold by

traders and mean selling prices for traders. The insights provided highlight on the level of demand and supply for spice products in Zanzibar.

The study examined ten factors influencing market access among spice farmers in the study area. Logistic regression model was performed to assess the impact of these factors on the likelihood that spice farmers would access market for their products. Seven independent variables i.e. distant market, market information access, market organization, number of spice sold, quantity of spice sold, spice price and quantity of spice demanded made a unique statistically significant contribution to market access among spice farmers. This contribution shows that there is relationship between marketing factors and market access among spice farmers. Therefore, the study rejected the null hypothesis and accepted the alternative hypothesis which stated that there was relationship between marketing factors and market access among spice farmers.

5.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings, the study recommends the following for improvement of spice market access among spice farmers;

- i. Market is the only variable that affects farmer's choice of marketing channel. That is, farmers sell where they find a market. Spice farmers should strengthen spice value chain in which a number of spice markets can be increased and developed. The increase in the marketing channels would not only make the spice market less informal but also increase demand for spices which is necessary for farmer market access.
- ii. Spice farming as a business requires that farmers to be enabled to access a comprehensive package of support and services based on market focussed collaboration. Farmers should be trained entrepreneurship and strengthened their

collaboration to traders. They will have ability to specialise in a certain ranges of spice in accordance with market needs, by developing an economically viable scale of production and cost reduction.

- iii. There should be a formation of stronger spice farmers' associations or networks. The associations could enable spice farmers to pool resources and benefits from economies of scale instead of competing between themselves. These groups could make spice farmers participate effectively in spice tourism activities which is the emerging industry in Zanzibar such as cooperatives, spice growers
- iv. There should be spice price regulatory authority for spices to be supplied to market. This can also involve creating clear price structure for spice products to be sold. The authority would not only increase their capacity to organise spice market according to the market requirements, but also through their alliances they could forge effective partnerships with potential spice traders. Therefore efforts aimed at expanding the spice industry could employ price policies such as floor prices
- v. Areas for further researches;
 - Study on performance of spice tourism to spice farmers' income should be conducted. This study should assess income gain from spice tourism and possibility of diversification of spice farming as niche product for income generating.
 - Study on the consumer preference be carried out and further value addition activities to improve consumer preference for spice products can be encouraged.
 - Identify other industrial uses of spices. This could result in increased demand which leads to increase in price thereby presenting an incentive for spice market access.

REFERENCES

- Akyoo, A.M. and Lazaro, E. (2007). The Spice Industry in Tanzania: General Profile, Supply Chain Structure, and Safety Standards Compliance Issues. *DIIS Working Paper no. 2007:8*, Copenhagen, Denmark. 1-31.
- ARIF (2011). Restructuring of Zanzibar State Trading Corporation, Interim Report. Agricultural Innovation Research Foundation, Tanzania. Un published.
- Bachmann, J. and Earles, R. (2000). Postharvest Handling of Fruits and Vegetables, ATTRA. Horticulture Technical Note. 19pp. Available at: [<http://attra.ncat.org/attra-pub/PDF/postharvest.pdf>] site visited on 22/08/2013
- Baloyi, J. K. (2010). An Analysis of Constraints Facing Smallholder Farmers in The Agribusiness Value Chain. Master's Thesis: Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural development. University of Pretoria. Pretoria. pp 23-27.
- Benfica, R., Tschirley, D. and Sambo, L. (2002). The Impact of Alternative Agro-Industrial Investments on Poverty Reduction in Rural Mozambique. MINAG/DE/DAP Research Report No. 51E. [<http://www.aec.msu.edu/fs2/mozambique/wps51e.pdf>] site visited on 22/08/2013.
- Berthon, P., Pitt, L. F., Ewing, M. T., and Bakkeland, G. (2003). Norms and Power In Marketing Relationships: Alternative theories and empirical evidence. *Journal of Business Research* 56: 699-709.

- Bienabe, E., Caronel, C., Le Coq, J. and Liagre, L. (2004). Linking Smallholder Farmers to Markets: Lessons Learned from Literature Review and Analytical Review of Selected Projects. Study Report, Final Draft, March 2004. CIRAD and IRAM. World Bank. pp. 82.
- Bijman, J., Ton, G. and Meijerink, G. (2007). Empowering Smallholder Farmers in Markets: *National and International Policy Initiatives*. WUR: Wageningen. pp 29.
- Caniëls, M.C.J. and Gelderman, C.J. (2007). Power and Interdependence in Buyer Supplier Relationships: A Purchasing Portfolio Approach. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 36, pp.219-229. DTIS, (2005).
- De Klerk, S. and Kroon, J. (2007). Networking in South African businesses. *Management Decision* 45(1): 89 – 103.
- Delgado, C. (1999). *Sources of Growth in Smallholder Agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa: The Role of Vertical Integration of Smallholders with Processors and Marketers of High Value-added Items*. *Agrekon*. 38 (S1):165–89.
- DTIS (2005). Tanzania Diagnostic Trade Integration Study. Study Report, November 2005. *Diagnostic Trade Integration Study. Volume 2*. pp 74.
- Eggertsson, T. (1990). *Economic Behaviour and Institutions*. Cambridge University Press: New York. pp 363.

- FAO (2001). Contract Farming. Partnerships for Growth: *FAO Agricultural Services Bulletin* No. 145, Rome. pp. 161.
- FAO (2005). *Herbs, Spice and Essential Oils: Post-harvest Operations in Developing Countries*, by Douglas, M. J. Heyes and Small field B., Rome. pp 61.
- FAO (2011). Health and Wealth from Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, *FAO Diversification booklet* No. 17, Rome. pp. 70.
- Frank, S.D. and Henderson, D.R. (1992). Transaction Costs as Determinants of Vertical Coordination in the US Food Industries. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 74: 941-950.
- Gabre-Madhin, E.Z. (2001). Understanding How Markets Work: Transaction Costs and Institutions in the Ethiopian Grain Market. *IFPRI Research Report* 124: International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC. pp. 44.
- Herman, D., Van Schalkwyk, Groenewald, J. A., Fraser, G.C.G., Obi, A. and Van Tilburg, A. (2012). Unlocking Markets for Smallholder in South Africa: Wageningen Academic Publishers: Wageningen. pp. 23 – 33.
- Hobbs, J. (1997). A Transaction Cost Approach to Supply Chain Management. *Supply Chain Management* 1 (2): 15–27.
- International Trade Centre, (2001). Product Profile: Spice and Culinary Herbs. UNCTAD. *Discussion Document*. Brussels. pp2.

- Jaffee, S. and Morton, J. (1995). *Transaction Costs, Risk, and the Organization of Private Sector Food Commodity Systems*. In *Marketing Africa's High-Value Foods: Comparative Experiences of an Emergent Private Sector*: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, Iowa. pp. 21 – 62.
- Jari, B. and Fraser, G. C. G. (2014). An Analysis of Institutional and Technical Factors Influencing Agricultural Marketing amongst Smallholder Farmers in the Kat River Valley. *International Journal of Agricultural Marketing* 1(1): 002-009.
- Jayne, T. S., Govereh, J., Mwanaumo, A., Nyoro, J. and Chapoto, A. (2002). *False Promise or False Premise: The Experience of Food and Input Marketing In Eastern and Southern Africa, Perspectives on Agricultural Transformation: A View from Africa*. *World Development*. Nova Science, New York. pp. 31 (11), pp.1967 – 1986.
- Key, N. and Runsten, D. (1999). *Contract Farming, Smallholders and Rural Development in Latin America: The Organization of Agro-processing Firms and the Scale of Out-grower Production*. *World Development*. 27(2): 381–401.
- Kherallah, M. and Kirsten, J. F. (2002). *The New Institutional Economics: Applications for Agricultural Policy Research in Developing Countries*. *Agrekon*, 41(2): 110-132.
- Kilingo, J. K. and Kariuki, J. G. (2001). *Marketing of Smallholder Produce: A Synthesis of Case Studies in the High Lands of Central Kenya*. pp 75.

- Killick, T., Kydd, J. and Poulton, C. (2000). Agricultural Liberalization, Commercialization and the Market Access Problem in the Rural Poor and the Wider Economy: *The Problem of Market Access*. International Fund for Agricultural Development, Rome. pp. 3001 – 3012.
- Kledal, P.F., Eyhorn, F., Elzakker, B. and Pereira, E.A. (2013): The Possibilities for Inclusion of Smallholder Farmers in Organic Chain Development. In: Halberg, N. and Muller, A. (eds): *Organic Agriculture for Sustainable Livelihoods*, Earthscan, Routledge. pp154-173.
- Kothari, C.R. (2004). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques* (2nd Ed.), New Age International Publishers, New Delhi. 401 pp.
- Lapar, M.L., Holloway, G. and Ehui, S. (2003). Policy Options Promoting Market Participation of Smallholder Livestock Producers: A Case Study from the Philippines. *Food Policy*, Vol. 28: p 187-211.
- Louw, A., Madevu, H., Jordaan, D. and Vermeulen, H. (2007). South Africa. In: B. Vorley, A. Fearn and D. Ray, (eds) *Regoverning Markets: A Place for Small-Scale Producers in Modern Agri-food Chains*. Gower Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK, pp. 73-81.
- Mahamoud, I. I. (2013). Inclusion of Small-Scale Farmers in the Spice Value Chain in Zanzibar, Tanzania. Master's Thesis: Governance, Policy and Political Economy (GPPE). Institute of Social Studies. The Hague. pp 49.

- Makhura, T. M. (2001). Overcoming Transaction Costs Barriers to Market Participation of Smallholder Farmers in the Northern Province of South Africa. Unpublished PhD Thesis. University of Pretoria, Pretoria. pp. 228.
- Mangisoni, J. (2006). Markets, Institutions and Agricultural Performance in Africa. ATPS Special Paper Series No. 27, African Technology and Policy Studies Network, Nairobi. pp. 19.
- Mather, C. (2005). SMEs in South Africa's Food Processing Complex. Working Paper No 3. Available at: [<http://www.tips.org.za/node/394>] site visited on 22/08/2013.
- Melanie, M. and Michael, J. (2011). *Spices and Herbs for Home and Markets: Rural Infrastructure and Agro-Industries Division*. FAO, Rome. pp. 70.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Natural Resources (MALNR), (1993). Proposed candidate crops for research and Development. ZCCFSP Technical Report no. 93/4. Discussion document. pp 64.
- Minot, N. and Goletti, F. (1997). Impact of Rice Export Policy on Domestic Prices and Food Security. Markets, Trade, and Institutions. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). [<http://www.ifpri.org/divs/mtid/mtidr.asp+Goletti++institutions&hl=en&ct=clnk&cd=2&gl=za.>] site visited on 22/08/2013.
- Mlingi, B. and Rajab, K. (2009). *Post Harvest Losses Assessment*. Ministry of Agriculture Livestock and Environment and FAO. Zanzibar. pp 88.

- Moeller, K. (2010). Partner Selection, Partner Behaviour, and Business Network Performance: An Empirical Study on German Business Networks. *Journal of Accounting and Organisational Change*, Vol. 6 (1), pp. 27-51.
- Mort, G. S. and Werawardena, J. (2006). Networking Capability and International Entrepreneurship: How Networks Function in Australian Born Global Firms. *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 23(5), pp. 549-572.
- Murphy, S. (2010). *Changing Perspectives: Small-Scale Farmers, Markets and Globalisation*. The Hague. [<http://pubs.iied.org/16517iied>.] site visited on 22/08/2013.
- Neuman, W.L. (2006). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches* (6th Edn). Boston, Pearson Education Inc. pp 460.
- O'Donnell, A. (2004). The Nature of Networking in Small Firms. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* Vol. 7(3), pp.206-217.
- Randela, R., Alemu, Z. G. and Groenewald, J. A. (2008). *Factors Enhancing Market Participation by Small-Scale Cotton Farmers*. Agrekon. pp. 41.
- RGZ (2002). *Zanzibar Development Vision 2020*, Ministry of Finance and Economic Affairs, Zanzibar. pp 44.
- RGZ (2009). *Zanzibar Human Development Report*. Towards pro poor growth. Revolutionary Government of Zanzibar (SMZ), Zanzibar. pp150.

- RGZ (2010). *The Zanzibar Strategy for Growth and Reduction of Poverty: 2010-2015* (ZSGRP II), MKUZA II, SMZ, Zanzibar. pp 197.
- Robbins, P. (2005). The New Trade Environment and the Plight of Smallholder Farmers. [<http://www.ciat.cgiar.org/agroempresas/pdf/ctamisace2005proceedings/session2pages2346.pdf>] site visited on 22/08/2013.
- Ruben, R. Boselie, D. and Lu, H. (2007). Vegetable Procurement by Asian Supermarkets: A Transaction Cost Approach. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* 12(1): 60 - 68.
- Ruijs, A. (2002). Cereal Trade in Developing Countries: A Stochastic Equilibrium Analysis of Market Liberalisation and Institutional Changes in Burkina Faso. PhD Thesis, University Of Groningen, The Netherlands. pp. 219.
- Salami, A., Kamara, A.B. and Brixiova, Z. (2010), Smallholder Agriculture in East Africa: Trends, Constraints and Opportunities, *Working Papers Series No. 105*. African Development Bank. 44pp.
- Samaratunga, P.A. (2007). Sri Lanka: Innovative Practice in Integrating Small Farmers into Dynamic Supply-Chains: A Case Study Of Ma's Tropical Food Company. *Regoverning Markets Innovative Practice Series*, IIED, London. pp. 55 – 67.
- Senyolo, G.M., Chaminuka, P., Makhura, M.N. and Belete, A. (2009). Patterns of Access and Utilization of Output Markets by Emerging Farmers in South Africa: Factor Analysis Approach: *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 4 (3): 208-214.

Sharp, J.S. and Smith, M.B. (2003). Social Capital and Farming at the Rural-Urban Interface: The Importance of Non-Farmer and Farmer Relations. *Agricultural Systems* 76: 913-927.

Wilson, L.G., Boyette, M.D. and Estes E.A. (1995). *Postharvest Handling and Cooling of Fresh Fruits, Vegetables and Flowers for Small Farms*. North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, Raleigh. pp. 67 – 88.

Zaibet, L.T. and Dunn, E.G. (1998). Land Tenure, Farm Size, and Rural Market Participation in Developing Countries: The Case of The Tunisia Olive Sector. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 46: 831-848.

Zanzibar Meteorology Authority, (n.d). Zanzibar Spice Farms. [www.zanzibarspices] site visited on 22/08/2013.

Zanzibar website, (2013). [<http://zanzibar.go.tz/>] 09 September, 2013.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Smallholder Farmers' Questionnaire

FACTORS AFFECTING MARKET ACCESS AMONG SPICE FARMERS IN ZANZIBAR

SMALLHOLDER FARMER QUESTIONNAIRE:

This piece of research is intended for M.A. Rural Development of the Sokoine University of Agriculture (SUA). The study intends to examine the factors affecting market access among spice farmers in Zanzibar.

Please be assured that any data you provide will remain confidential to the researcher and will only be reported in terms as part of a wider analysis, in which you will simply be identified as respondent. Please discuss any concern you may have with the researcher.

Thank you for agreeing to participate.

Identification number..... Shehia.....

Village..... Name of the farmer.....

SECTION A: BACKGROUND INFORMATION OF RESPONDENT

1. Demographic Characteristic

Household members	Relationship to the household head	Age (Year)	Sex	Marital Status	Education Information
	1= Head		1= Male	1= Single	1= No formal education
	2= Spouse		2= Female	2= Married	2= Primary education
	3= Son			3= Widow/	
	4= Daughter			Widower	3= Secondary

	5= Relative			4= Separated 5= Divorced	education 4= Tertiary education 5= Graduate

2. Socio-economic Information of a respondent.

Occupation	Association/group	Current annual income earnings (T.shs)
1= Spice production	1= Farmers association	
2= Spice product selling	3= Cooperation	
3= Food crop selling	4= Credit & savings	
4= Spice tourism	5= Individual	

SECTION B: PRODUCTION AND MARKETING INFORMATION

3. Total land owned by the farmer..... acre..... (ha)

4. Total land used for production..... acre.....(ha)

5. What types of spice do you cultivate (fill the table below)?

Spice cultivated	Acreage cultivated (ha)	Amount harvested (Kg/ year)	Amount sold (Kg/ year)	Price per Unit (kg)	Place sold	Revenue

6. To whom do you sell your products? 0 = Middleman 1 = Retailer or consumer

7. Please indicate marketing challenges you face when marketing your produce to market.

SN	Market Participating Challenges	Yes	No
1	Access of marketing information		
2	Long distance to the market		
3	Transport problems		
4	High transaction costs		
5	Market organisation		
6	Type of spice to be sold		
7	Quantity of spice to be sold		
8	Selling price		
9	Quantity of product demanded		
10	Product grading		
11	Product value addition		
12	Others, (specify)		

8. How far is the market place from your spice farm?km

9. Do you transport your product to market? 0 = No 1 = Yes

10. If yes, how do you transport your products to market place?

1 = Own transport 2 = Public transport 3 = Hired transport

11. What is the transport cost do you spend to the market?

12. Whom do you sell your products to?

1 = Market 2 = Middlemen 3 = Customers

13. Do you face competition with other spice producers at market? 0 = No 1 = Yes

14. If yes, what is the competition for?

1 = Product prices 2 = Quantity supplied 3 = Quality of products

15. Do traders collide for buying your products? 0= No 1= Yes
16. If yes, what spices do they collide for buying?
1= Black pipers 2 = Ginger 3 = Vanilla 4= Cinnamon 5= Cardamom
17. If no in question Why?
.....
18. Who sets selling price for your products?
1 = Farmer 2 = Buyer 3 = Market auction 4 = Spice farmers' group
19. Where do better selling prices come from?
1 = Market 2 = Middlemen 3= Customers
20. Do you have access to market information? 1 = Yes 0= No
21. Where do you get market information?
1= Local traders 2= Neighbour 3= Media 4= Extension agents 5= Others
specify..
22. Do you pay taxes for selling spices? 1 = Yes 0= No
23. If yes, please mention types of taxes and amount paid

Type of taxes	Amount for 50kg bag

Thank You Very Much

Appendix 2: Spice Traders Questionnaire

FACTORS AFFECTING MARKET ACCESS AMONG SPICE FARMERS IN ZANZIBAR

This piece of research is intended for M.A. Rural Development of the Sokoine University of Agriculture (SUA). The study intends to examine the factors affecting market access among spice farmers in Zanzibar.

Please be assured that any data you provide will remain confidential to the researcher and will only be reported in board terms as part of a wider analysis, in which you will simply be identified as respondent. Please discuss any concern you may have with the researcher.

Thank you for agreeing to participate

Name of Trader..... Market place

SECTION A: BUSINESS BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. Business characteristics

Years in Business	Type of trader	Age (Year)	Sex	Education Information	Spice product
	1= Wholesaler 2= Retailer 3= Processor		1= Male 2= Fem ale	1= No formal education 2= Primary education 3= Secondary education 4= Tertiary education 5= Graduate	

7. How is product price from farmers?

1 = Low

0 = High

8. How is product supply from farmers?

1 = Regular

0 = Irregular

9. What is the processing quality of the products from farmers?

1 = Processed products

2 = Unprocessed products

10. Suggestions for spice farmers to improve quality of their products

.....

.....

Thank You Very Much